

SPR, Part B MD-25-P02087X1.1/2 Wes Moore Governor

Aruna Miller Lieutenant Governor

Paul J. Wiedefeld Secretary

William Pines, P.E. Administrator

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANPORTATION STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

RESEARCH REPORT

MASH TEST LEVEL 3 DESIGN, TESTING, AND EVALUATION OF THE ANCHORED MARYLAND TEMPORARY PRECAST SINGLE-FACE F-TYPE CONCRETE BARRIER

Chiara Silvestri Dobrovolny, Sofokli Cakalli, Nauman Sheikh, William J. L. Schroeder, and Darrell L. Kuhn

Texas A&M Transportation Institute Proving Ground

FINAL REPORT

February 2025

This material is based upon work supported by the Federal Highway Administration under the State Planning and Research program. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Federal Highway Administration or the Maryland Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.



Test Report No. 614271-12



MASH TEST LEVEL 3 DESIGN, TESTING, AND EVALUATION OF THE ANCHORED MARYLAND TEMPORARY PRECAST SINGLE-FACE F-TYPE CONCRETE BARRIER

Sponsored by

Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration (SHA)

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE PROVING GROUND Roadside Safety & Physical Security Texas A&M University System RELLIS Campus Building 7091 1254 Avenue A Bryan, TX 77807



		rechnical Report Documentation rage
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date
MASH TEST LEVEL 3 DESIGN, T	ESTING, AND EVALUATION	March 2023
OF THE MARYLAND TEMPORA	RY PRECAST SINGLE-FACE	6. Performing Organization Code
F-TYPE CONCRETE BARRIER		
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.
Chiara Silvestri-Dobrovolny, Sofok	li Cakalli, Nauman M. Sheikh,	Report 614271-12
William J. L. Schroeder, and Darrel		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
Texas A&M Transportation Institute		
3135 TAMU		11. Contract or Grant No.
College Station, Texas 77843-3135		Project PO2087X-1-2
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		13. Type of Report and Period Covered
Maryland Department of Transportation		Final Report
State Highway Administration		February 2022–January 2023
707 North Calvert Street, C-412		14. Sponsoring Agency Code
Baltimore, MD 21202		
15. Supplementary Notes		

Project Title: MASH Test Level 3 Design, Testing, and Evaluation of the Anchored Maryland Temporary Precast Single-Face F-Type Concrete Barrier

Name of Contacting Representatives: Jeff Robert, Sharon Hawkins, and Hua Xiang

16. Abstract

The purpose of this project was to develop a design for the anchored Maryland temporary precast single-face F-type concrete barrier and assess its crashworthiness performance according to the safetyperformance evaluation guidelines included in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH), Second Edition (1). Finite element computer simulations were used to assist with system design and to predict crashworthiness of the anchored barrier design. The barrier was evaluated by performing two crash tests in accordance with MASH Test Level 3 (TL-3):

- 1. MASH Test 3-10: An 1100C vehicle weighing 2420 lb impacting the longitudinal barrier while traveling at 62 mi/h and 25 degrees.
- 2. MASH Test 3-11: A 2270P vehicle weighing 5000 lb impacting the longitudinal barrier while traveling at 62 mi/h and 25 degrees.

This report provides details on the modeling and simulation performed to develop the final design, the crash-tested anchored Maryland temporary precast single-face F-type concrete barrier, the crash tests and results, and the performance assessment of the anchored barrier in accordance with MASH TL-3 evaluation criteria for longitudinal barriers.

The anchored Maryland temporary precast single-face F-type concrete barrier met the performance criteria for MASH TL-3 for longitudinal barriers.

17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statement		
Crash Test, Longitudinal Barrier, Portable		No restrictions. This document is available to the		
Concrete Barrier, Temporary Precast Barrier,		public through NTIS:		
Single-Face Barrier, F-Shape, F-Type, Anchored		National Technical Information Service		
Barrier, FEA, Simulation, Finite Element Analysis,		Alexandria, Virginia 22312		
MASH		http://www.ntis.gov		
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of t	his page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
None	None		122	

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72) Reproduction of completed page authorized.

MASH TEST LEVEL 3 DESIGN, TESTING, AND EVALUATION OF THE MARYLAND TEMPORARY PRECAST SINGLE-FACE F-TYPE CONCRETE BARRIER

by

Chiara Silvestri-Dobrovolny, Ph.D. Research Scientist Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Sofokli Cakalli Assistant Research Scientist Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Nauman M. Sheikh, P.E. Research Engineer Texas A&M Transportation Institute

William J. L. Schroeder Research Engineering Associate Texas A&M Transportation Institute

and

Darrell L. Kuhn, P.E. Research Specialist Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Report 614271-12 Contract No.: PO2087X-1-2 Project Title: *MASH* Test Level 3 Design, Testing, and Evaluation of the Maryland Temporary Precast Single-Face F-Type Concrete Barrier

> Sponsored by the Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration and the Federal Highway Administration

> > June 2023

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE College Station, Texas 77843-3135

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are solely responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data and the opinions, findings, and conclusions presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration (SHA), The Texas A&M University System, or the Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI). This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. In addition, the above-listed agencies/companies assume no liability for its contents or use thereof. The names of specific products or manufacturers listed herein do not imply endorsement of those products or manufacturers.

The results reported herein apply only to the article tested. The full-scale crash tests were performed according to TTI Proving Ground quality procedures and American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)*, Second Edition, guidelines and standards.

The Proving Ground Laboratory within TTI's Roadside Safety and Physical Security Division ("TTI Lab") strives for accuracy and completeness in its crash test reports. On rare occasions, unintentional or inadvertent clerical errors, technical errors, omissions, oversights, or misunderstandings (collectively referred to as "errors") may occur and may not be identified for corrective action prior to the final report being published and issued. If, and when, the TTI Lab discovers an error in a published and issued final report, the TTI Lab will promptly disclose such error to SHA, and both parties shall endeavor in good faith to resolve this situation. The TTI Lab will be responsible for correcting the error that occurred in the report, which may be in the form of errata, amendment, replacement sections, or up to and including full re-issuance of the report. The cost of correcting an error in the report shall be borne by the TTI Lab. Any such errors or inadvertent delays that occur in connection with the performance of the related testing contract will not constitute a breach of the testing contract.

THE TTI LAB WILL NOT BE LIABLE FOR ANY INDIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, PUNITIVE, OR OTHER DAMAGES SUFFERED BY MDOT SHA OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY, WHETHER SUCH LIABILITY IS BASED, OR CLAIMED TO BE BASED, UPON ANY NEGLIGENT ACT, OMISSION, ERROR, CORRECTION OF ERROR, DELAY, OR BREACH OF AN OBLIGATION BY THE TTI LAB.

REPORT AUTHORIZATION

REPORT REVIEWED BY:

DocuSigned by: Glenn Schroeder -E692F9CB5047487...

Glenn Schroeder, Research Specialist Drafting & Reporting

DocuSigned by:

Adam Mayer F7A06F754E02430..

Adam Mayer, Research Specialist Construction

DocuSianed by: Robert Korman 6CF2C47B60EB409

Robert Kocman, Research Specialist Mechanical Instrumentation DocuSigned by:

Ken Reeves —60D556935596468...

Ken Reeves, Research Specialist Electronics Instrumentation

---- DocuSigned by:

Pichard Badillo —0F51DA60AB144F9...

Richard Badillo, Research Specialist Photographic Instrumentation

DocuSigned by: ville 25F29E1BAD624E8

William J. L. Schroeder, Research Engineering Associate Research Evaluation and Reporting

-DocuSigned by: Bill Griffith 44A122CB271845B.

Bill L. Griffith, Research Specialist Quality Manager

DocuSigned by:

Matt Robinson _____EAA22BFA5BFD417...

Matthew N. Robinson, Research Specialist Test Facility Manager & Technical Manager

DocuSigned by: Haumanty Theikh 662F8286A604403

Nauman M. Sheikh, P.E. Principal Investigator, Research Engineer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		P	age
List of Fi	igures		xi
List of Ta	ables		xiv
Chapter	1.	Introduction	1
Chapter	2.	Finite Element Analysis and Simulations	3
2.1.	Introdu	ction	3
2.2.	Finite I	Element Analysis of Original Design	3
2.3.	Design	Modifications	. 10
2.4.	Finite F	Element Analysis of Modified Design	. 11
2.5.	Conclu	sions	. 18
Chapter	3.	System Details	. 19
3.1.	Test Ar	rticle and Installation Details	. 19
3.2.	Design	Modifications during Tests	. 19
3.3.	Materia	al Specifications	. 24
Chapter	4.	Test Requirements and Evaluation Criteria	. 25
4.1.	Crash 7	Fest Performed/Matrix	. 25
4.2.	Evaluat	tion Criteria	. 26
Chapter	5.	Test Conditions	. 27
5.1.	Test Fa	cility	. 27
5.2.	Vehicle	e Tow and Guidance System	. 27
5.3.	Data A	cquisition Systems	. 27
5.3.1	l. Ve	ehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing	. 27
5.3.2	2. A1	nthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation	. 28
5.3.3	3. Ph	notographic Instrumentation Data Processing	. 29
Chapter	6.	MASH Test 3-10 (Crash Test No. 614271-12-2)	. 31
6.1.	Test De	esignation and Actual Impact Conditions	. 31
6.2.	Weathe	er Conditions	. 33
6.3.	Test Ve	ehicle	. 33
6.4.	Test De	escription	. 35
6.5.	Damag	e to Test Installation	. 35
6.6.	Damag	e to Test Vehicle	. 36
6.7.	Occupa	unt Risk Factors	. 39
Chapter	7.	MASH Test 3-11 (Crash Test No. 614271-12-1)	. 43
7.1.	Test De	esignation and Actual Impact Conditions	. 43
7.2.	Weathe	er Conditions	. 45
7.3.	Test Ve	ehicle	. 45
7.4.	Test De	escription	. 46
7.5.	Damag	e to Test Installation	. 47
7.6.	Damag	e to Test Vehicle	. 48
7.7.	Occupa	unt Risk Factors	. 51
Chapter	8.	Summary and Conclusions	. 55
8.1.	Assessi	ment of Test Results	. 55
8.2.	Conclu	sions	. 55
Referenc	es		. 57

Appendi	x A. Details of Anchored Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier	59
Appendi	x B. Supporting Certification Documents	
Appendi	x C. MASH Test 3-10 (Crash Test No. 614271-12-2)	
C.1.	Vehicle Properties and Information	
C.2.	Sequential Photographs	
C.3.	Vehicle Angular Displacements	
C.4.	Vehicle Accelerations	
Appendi	x D. MASH Test 3-11 (Crash Test No. 614271-12-1)	
D.1.	Vehicle Properties and Information	
D.2.	Sequential Photographs	
D.3.	Vehicle Angular Displacements	
D.4.	Vehicle Accelerations	

LIST OF FIGURES

I	Page
Figure 2.1. Originally Proposed Design (Not to Be Used for Construction)—General	0
Geometry Details.	4
Figure 2.2. Originally Proposed Design (Not to Be Used for Construction)—Reinforcement	
and Joint Details	5
Figure 2.3. Originally Proposed Design (Not to Be Used for Construction)—Connector	
Details.	6
Figure 2.4. FE Model of Concrete Barrier Segments.	7
Figure 2.5. FE Model of the Steel Reinforcement.	7
Figure 2.6. FE Model of Pin-and-Loop Connection.	7
Figure 2.7. FE Model of Hold-Down Anchor Plate	8
Figure 2.8. Full System FE Model of Originally Proposed Barrier Design.	8
Figure 2.9. MASH Test 3-11 Simulation Setup for the Originally Proposed Barrier Design	9
Figure 2.10. Concrete and Anchorage Damage after MASH Test 3-11 Impact (Top View)	9
Figure 2.11. Deformation of Connection.	10
Figure 2.12. FE Model of Modified Barrier Segment	
Figure 2.13. Isometric View of Modified Barrier Segment FE Model	12
Figure 2.14. Reinforcement Details of Modified Barrier Model	. 12
Figure 2.15 Modified Barrier System with Fight Segments	12
Figure 2.16. Front-View Simulation Frames of <i>MASH</i> Test 3-11 for Modified Barrier	12
Design	14
Figure 2.17 Top-View Simulation Frames of MASH Test 3-11 for Modified Barrier Design	14
Figure 2.18 Isometric View of Impacted Area after MASH Test 3-11	15
Figure 2.10. Isometric View of Impacted Area area mastri rest 5-11.	10
Figure 3.1. Details of Maryland F. Type Temporary Barrier	17
Figure 3.2. Maryland F. Type Temporary Parrier prior to Testing Front View	20
of the Installation	21
Figure 2.2 Manufand F. Type Temperary Parrier prior to Testing – Peak View	41
of the Installation	21
Figure 2.4 Maguland E Type Temperany Parties prior to Testing I stored View	21
of the Installation	22
Figure 2.5 Manufand E Type Temperant Parties prior to Testing Vahiele Tire Trainstence	22
(Orange Line) Aimed at Targeted Parrier Vehicle Impact Leastion	22
(Orange Line) Annea at Targeted Barrier prior to Tosting Crowned Connection	22
Details	22
Details.	23
Figure 3.7. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier prior to Testing—Segment Connection	22
	23
Figure 4.1. Target CIP for MASH 1L-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier	25
Figure 6.1. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier and Test Vehicle Geometrics for	~~
Test 6142/1-12-2.	32
Figure 6.2. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier and Test Vehicle Impact Location for	~~
Test 6142/1-12-2.	32
Figure 6.3. Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 614271-12-2.	33
Figure 6.4. Opposite Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 614271-12-2.	34

Figure 6.5. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier after Test near Impact Location for	
Test 614271-12-2	. 36
Figure 6.6. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier after Test at the Joint of Barriers 4 and 5	
for Test 614271-12-2.	. 36
Figure 6.7. Impact Side of Test Vehicle after Test 614271-12-2.	. 37
Figure 6.8. Rear Impact Side of Test Vehicle after Test 614271-12-2	. 37
Figure 6.9. Overall Interior of Test Vehicle after Test 614271-12-2	. 38
Figure 6.10. Interior of Test Vehicle on Impact Side after Test 614271-12-2.	. 38
Figure 6.11. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-10 on Anchored Maryland F-Type	
Temporary Barrier.	. 41
Figure 7.1. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier and Test Vehicle Geometrics for Test 614271-12-1	. 44
Figure 7.2. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier and Test Vehicle Impact Location for	
Test 614271-12-1	. 44
Figure 7.3. Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 614271-12-1.	. 45
Figure 7.4. Opposite Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 614271-12-1.	. 46
Figure 7.5. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier after Test at Impact Location for	
Test 614271-12-1	. 48
Figure 7.6. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier after Test at the Joint of Barriers 3 and 4	
for Test 614271-12-1.	. 48
Figure 7.7. Impact Side of Test Vehicle after Test 614271-12-1.	. 49
Figure 7.8. Rear Impact Side of Test Vehicle after Test 614271-12-1	. 49
Figure 7.9. Overall Interior of Test Vehicle after Test 614271-12-1	. 50
Figure 7.10. Interior of Test Vehicle on Impact Side after Test 614271-12-1.	. 50
Figure 7.11. Summary of Results for <i>MASH</i> Test 3-11 on Maryland F-Type Temporary	
Barrier.	. 53
Figure C.1. Vehicle Properties for Test No. 614271-12-2	. 99
Figure C.2. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test No. 614271-12-2.	100
Figure C.3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 614271-12-2	101
Figure C.4. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 614271-12-2 (Overhead Views).	102
Figure C.5. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 614271-12-2 (Frontal Views).	103
Figure C.6. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 614271-12-2 (Rear Views).	104
Figure C.7. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 614271-12-2.	105
Figure C.8. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 614271-12-2	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	106
Figure C.9. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 614271-12-2	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	106
Figure C.10. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 614271-12-2	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	107
Figure D.1. Vehicle Properties for Test No. 614271-12-1.	109
Figure D.2. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test No. 614271-12-1.	110
Figure D.3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 614271-12-1.	111
Figure D.4. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 614271-12-1 (Overhead Views)	112
Figure D.5. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 614271-12-1 (Frontal Views)	113
Figure D.6. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 614271-12-1 (Rear Views)	114
Figure D.7. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 614271-12-1.	115
	-

Figure D.8. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 614271-12-1	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	
Figure D.9. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 614271-12-1	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	
Figure D.10. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 614271-12-1	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity)	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Summary of Changes between Original and Modified Barrier Design.1Table 2.2. Modified Barrier System Deflections for MASH TL-3 Simulations.18Table 3.1. Concrete Strength.24Table 4.1. Test Conditions and Evaluation Criteria Specified by MASH TL-3 for Longitudinal Barriers.25Table 4.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for MASH Testing.26Table 6.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.31Table 6.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.31Table 6.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-2.33Table 6.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-2.34Table 6.5. Events during Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Fact		Page
Table 2.2. Modified Barrier System Deflections for MASH TL-3 Simulations.18Table 3.1. Concrete Strength.24Table 4.1. Test Conditions and Evaluation Criteria Specified by MASH TL-3 for Longitudinal Barriers.25Table 4.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for MASH Testing.26Table 6.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.31Table 6.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.33Table 6.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-2.33Table 6.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-2.34Table 6.5. Events during Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51<	Table 2.1. Summary of Changes between Original and Modified Barrier Design	
Table 3.1. Concrete Strength.24Table 4.1. Test Conditions and Evaluation Criteria Specified by MASH TL-3 for Longitudinal Barriers.25Table 4.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for MASH Testing.26Table 6.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.31Table 6.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.31Table 6.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-2.33Table 6.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-2.34Table 6.5. Events during Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.44Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle	Table 2.2. Modified Barrier System Deflections for MASH TL-3 Simulations	
Table 4.1. Test Conditions and Evaluation Criteria Specified by $MASH$ TL-3 for Longitudinal Barriers.25Table 4.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for $MASH$ Testing.26Table 6.1. Impact Conditions for $MASH$ Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.31Table 6.2. Exit Parameters for $MASH$ Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.31Table 6.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-2.33Table 6.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-2.34Table 6.5. Events during Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.6. Down Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8	Table 3.1. Concrete Strength.	
Longitudinal Barriers25Table 4.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for $MASH$ Testing.26Table 6.1. Impact Conditions for $MASH$ Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.31Table 6.2. Exit Parameters for $MASH$ Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.31Table 6.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-2.33Table 6.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-2.34Table 6.5. Events during Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occup	Table 4.1. Test Conditions and Evaluation Criteria Specified by MASH TL-3 for	
Table 4.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for $MASH$ Testing.26Table 6.1. Impact Conditions for $MASH$ Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.31Table 6.2. Exit Parameters for $MASH$ Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.31Table 6.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-2.33Table 6.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-2.34Table 6.5. Events during Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for $MASH$ Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for $MASH$ Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52T	Longitudinal Barriers	
Table 6.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test $614271-12-2$.31Table 6.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test $614271-12-2$.31Table 6.3. Weather Conditions for Test $614271-12-2$.33Table 6.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test $614271-12-2$.34Table 6.5. Events during Test $614271-12-2$.35Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test $614271-12-2$.35Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test $614271-12-2$.39Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test $614271-12-2$.39Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test $614271-12-2$.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test $614271-12-1$.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test $614271-12-1$.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test $614271-12-1$.46Table 7.5. Events during Test $614271-12-1$.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test $614271-12-1$.47Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.5. Events during Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test $614271-12-1$. </td <td>Table 4.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for MASH Testing</td> <td></td>	Table 4.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for MASH Testing	
Table 6.2. Exit Parameters for $MASH$ Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.31Table 6.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-2.33Table 6.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-2.34Table 6.5. Events during Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for $MASH$ Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for $MASH$ Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for $MASH$ TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-TypeTemporary Barrier. <td>Table 6.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.</td> <td></td>	Table 6.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.	
Table 6.3. Weather Conditions for Test $614271-12-2$.33Table 6.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test $614271-12-2$.34Table 6.5. Events during Test $614271-12-2$.35Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test $614271-12-2$.35Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test $614271-12-2$.39Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test $614271-12-2$.39Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test $614271-12-2$.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test $3-11$, Crash Test $614271-12-1$.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test $3-11$, Crash Test $614271-12-1$.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test $614271-12-1$.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test $614271-12-1$.46Table 7.5. Events during Test $614271-12-1$.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test $614271-12-1$.52Table 7.4. Vehicle Damage for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.5. Events during Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test $614271-12-1$.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test $614271-12-1$.52Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type55	Table 6.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2	
Table 6.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-2.34Table 6.5. Events during Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.4. Nehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type55	Table 6.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-2.	
Table 6.5. Events during Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type55	Table 6.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-2.	
Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-2.35Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type55	Table 6.5. Events during Test 614271-12-2	
Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type55	Table 6.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-2	
Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-2.39Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2.40Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type55	Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-2.	
Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2	Table 6.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-2.	
Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.43Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type55	Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2	40
Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1	Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.	
Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.45Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type55	Table 7.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1	
Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.46Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type55	Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.	
Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1	Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.	
Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.47Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type55	Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1	
Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.51Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.52Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type55	Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1	
Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1. 51 Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1. 52 Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type 55 Temporary Barrier. 55	Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.	51
Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1	Table 7.8. Exterior Vehicle Damage for Test 614271-12-1.	
Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier. 55	Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1	52
Temporary Barrier	Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-Type	
	Temporary Barrier.	55

SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS				
APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS				
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
	·	LENGTH	·	
in	inches	25.4	millimeters	mm
ft	feet	0.305	meters	m
yd	yards	0.914	meters	m
mi	miles	1.61	kilometers	km
		AREA		
in ²	square inches	645.2	square millimeters	mm ²
ft ²	square feet	0.093	square meters	m²
yd²	square yards	0.836	square meters	m²
ac	acres	0.405	hectares	ha
mı²	square miles	2.59	square kilometers	km²
flor	fluid ourses		millilitoro	ml
		29.37	litere	
gai #3	gallons cubic foot	0.700	illers cubic motors	L m ³
vd ³	cubic verds	0.020	cubic meters	m ³
yu	NOTE: volumes	areater than 1000	shall be shown in m ³	
		MASS		
07	ounces	28 35	grams	a
lb	pounds	0 454	kilograms	9 ka
Т	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams (or metric ton")	Ma (or "t")
	TEMP	ERATURE (exac	t degrees)	
°F	Fahrenheit	5(F-32)/9	Celsius	°C
		or (F-32)/1.8		•
	FORCE	and PRESSURE	or STRESS	
lbf	poundforce	4.45	newtons	Ν
lbf/in ²	poundforce per square inch	6.89	kilopascals	kPa
	APPROXIMAT	E CONVERSION	S FROM SI UNITS	
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
		LENGTH	·	
mm	millimeters	0.039	inches	in
m	meters	3.28	feet	ft
m	meters	1.09	yards	yd
km	kilometers	0.621	miles	mi
		AREA		
mm ²	square millimeters	0.0016	square inches	in ²
m ²	square meters	10.764	square feet	ft ²
m ²	square meters	1.195	square yards	yd ²
ha	hectares	2.47	acres	ac
кт²	Square kilometers	0.386	square miles	mi²
		VOLUME	fluid anna a	
mL	milliters	0.034	fluid ounces	OZ
L m ³	liters	0.264	gallons	gai #3
m^3	cubic meters	30.314		it ^o vd ³
111-	cubic meters	1.307 MASS	cubic yards	yu
a	drame	0.035	0110000	07
y ka	kilograms	2 202	pounds	02 Ib
Ma (or "t")	medadrams (or "metric top")	2.202 1 103	short tons (2000lb)	Т
		FRATURE (avec	t degrees)	
°C		1 80+32	Fahrenheit	°F
U		and DRESSURE	or STRESS	
N	newtons		noundforce	lbf
	kilonaaala	0.220	poundiores per aquara inch	IDI Ib/ip2
I KFa	NIUPasuais	0.140	poundiorce per square inch	ID/III-

*SI is the symbol for the International System of Units

Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this project was to develop a design for the anchored Maryland temporary precast single-face F-type concrete barrier and to assess its crashworthiness performance according to the safety-performance evaluation guidelines included in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)*, Second Edition (1). Finite element (FE) computer simulations were used to assist with system design and to predict crashworthiness of the proposed barrier design. The crash tests were performed in accordance with *MASH* Test Level 3 (TL-3), which requires two crash tests (as discussed in Chapter 4).

This report provides details on the FE modeling and simulation performed to develop the design for crash testing, the crash-tested design, the crash tests and results, and the performance assessment of the barrier in accordance with *MASH* TL-3 evaluation criteria for longitudinal barriers.

Chapter 2. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS AND SIMULATIONS

2.1. INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents details on the FE modeling and simulation effort conducted to design the anchored Maryland temporary precast single-face F-type concrete barrier and evaluate its crashworthiness.

The researchers first developed an FE model of the initial barrier design that was proposed by the Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration (SHA). This design was comprised of precast barrier segments with an F-shape profile on the traffic side and a single-slope profile on the field side of the barrier. The barrier segments were 12 ft long and were connected to each other using pin-and-loop connections. The barrier system was anchored to the underlying concrete pavement with two hold-down plates per barrier segment. The hold-down plates were bolted to the toe of the F-shape profile and the underlying concrete pavement using epoxy anchors.

The research team developed a detailed FE model of the originally proposed 12-ft barrier segment design, including the pin-and-loop connection and two hold-down plates. The researchers then evaluated the anchored barrier design by performing vehicle impact simulations using the test conditions specified for *MASH* Test 3-11 (i.e., 5000-lb pickup, impacting at 62 mi/h speed and 25 degrees). The results of the initial simulation revealed significant issues with the structural adequacy of the originally proposed design of the barrier. Based on these results, the original design was considered potentially inadequate to meet *MASH* evaluation criteria. The research team then proposed and evaluated several design changes to improve the barrier design.

Among the key changes proposed were improvements to the barrier reinforcement, the hold-down anchor plate design, and the pin-and-loop connection. The researchers improved the barrier design without changing its overall dimensions and while preserving its unique narrow base dimension of 17 inches. A detailed FE model of the modified barrier design was developed and evaluated through vehicle impact simulations under *MASH* TL-3 conditions. Results of the simulations showed that the impacting vehicles were contained and redirected acceptably, and the modified barrier was likely to meet the *MASH* TL-3 evaluation criteria in full-scale crash testing.

Based on the simulation results, the modified barrier design was recommended for fullscale crash testing under *MASH* Test 3-10 and Test 3-11 conditions. Details on the FE modeling and simulations of the Maryland temporary precast single-face F-type concrete barrier system are provided in the sections below.

2.2. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF ORIGINAL DESIGN

A detailed FE model of the originally proposed anchored Maryland temporary precast single-face F-type concrete barrier system with two hold-down plates was developed based on standard drawings provided by MDOT SHA and is shown in Figure 2.1 through Figure 2.3. A third middle hole in the barrier was included in the design so it could be used if anchoring with two hold-down plates was deemed insufficient.

The original barrier had a height of 32 inches and a base width of 17 inches. The concrete reinforcement consisted of a 6-inch \times 6-inch W2.9 \times W2.9 welded wire fabric. The barrier segments were connected through a pin-and-loop connection, with two loops on each barrier end. The loops were made of ³/₄-inch diameter steel rods, while the connector pin was a 1¹/₄-inch diameter steel rod with threads on both ends. Heavy hexagonal nuts were used to lock the connector pin from both ends. The ⁵/₁₆-inch thick anchor hold-down plate was comprised of an angle welded to a plate. To connect the anchor plate to the barrier, a coil insert with an ASTM A325 bolt was used. To connect to the underlying concrete, epoxy anchors or through-deck bolting was proposed.



Figure 2.1. Originally Proposed Design (Not to Be Used for Construction)—General Geometry Details.



Figure 2.2. Originally Proposed Design (Not to Be Used for Construction)—Reinforcement and Joint Details.



Figure 2.3. Originally Proposed Design (Not to Be Used for Construction)—Connector Details.

The concrete in the FE model was comprised of deformable solid elements with a continuous surface cap material model in LS-DYNA, which has the capability to model concrete damage. The barrier model is shown in Figure 2.4. The reinforcement within the deformable concrete elements was modeled with beam elements, as shown in Figure 2.5. The model also incorporated the pin-and-loop connection and the hold-down anchor plate, which were modeled with a combination of shell and beam elements, as shown in Figure 2.6 and Figure 2.7, respectively. Elastic-plastic material properties were assigned to the reinforcement, anchorage, and connection parts.



Figure 2.4. FE Model of Concrete Barrier Segments.



Figure 2.5. FE Model of the Steel Reinforcement.



Figure 2.6. FE Model of Pin-and-Loop Connection.



Figure 2.7. FE Model of Hold-Down Anchor Plate.

Figure 2.8 shows the full system model of the originally proposed anchored barrier design. It was comprised of eight barrier segments, for a total system length of 96 ft. In the system model, only the impacted barrier segments were modeled with deformable concrete material, while the remaining system segments were modeled with rigid material representation to reduce computational time needed to complete a simulation. The segments modeled with rigid material were expected to have negligible or no concrete damage, thus having no effect on the simulation results.



Figure 2.8. Full System FE Model of Originally Proposed Barrier Design.

The research team used a Dodge RAM pickup truck and a Toyota Yaris passenger car as the FE models to represent the *MASH* design vehicles for *MASH* Test 3-11 and Test 3-10 simulations, respectively. These FE vehicle models were originally developed by the Center for

Collision Safety and Analysis (2, 3). They were further modified in house by the Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI) over the course of various projects to improve their robustness and validation for roadside safety crash analyses.

The originally proposed barrier system was evaluated under *MASH* Test 3-11 impact conditions with use of the pickup truck model. The 2018 RAM model impacted the system at 62 mi/h and an angle of 25 degrees. The impact point was 4.3 ft upstream of the joint between barrier 4 and barrier 5, which is a typically selected upstream impact distance recommended in *MASH*. The simulation setup is shown in Figure 2.9.



Figure 2.9. MASH Test 3-11 Simulation Setup for the Originally Proposed Barrier Design.

Results from the simulation showed severe damage to the barrier concrete and reinforcement after the impact, compromising its structural integrity (Figure 2.10). Large deformations of the anchor plates and the pin were also observed, as shown in Figure 2.10 and Figure 2.11. The vehicle was contained and redirected, but the maximum dynamic deflection of the barrier system was about 23 inches, which was well above the target 12-inch maximum deflection desired by MDOT. Using the results of the simulation, the researchers concluded that the anchorage system and the concrete reinforcement were under-designed and needed improvement.



Figure 2.10. Concrete and Anchorage Damage after MASH Test 3-11 Impact (Top View).



Figure 2.11. Deformation of Connection.

2.3. DESIGN MODIFICATIONS

Based on the results of the Test 3-11 simulation, the research team made several changes to the originally proposed barrier design. The researchers recommended using ½-inch diameter rebar for lateral and longitudinal concrete reinforcement to improve the barrier's structural capacity. To reduce the damage in the anchoring connection area, a U-bar was placed around the precast inserts for anchor bolts in the barrier segments.

The originally proposed design had cut-outs in the barrier toes near the ends. These gaps allowed greater rotation at the barrier joints, which resulted in additional lateral barrier deflection. The researchers recommended removing the cut-out toes. To strengthen the pin-and-loop connection, the researchers recommended adding two additional loops in the connection to achieve two sets of three loops instead of the two sets of two loops in the originally proposed design. To reduce hold-down anchor plate deformation, the researchers proposed using a thicker ¹/₂-inch plate. A summary of the changes is presented in Table 2.1.

Feature	Original Design	Modified Design
Concrete reinforcement	6-inch × 6-inch W2.9 × W2.9 welded wire used as concrete reinforcement. Resulted in excessive concrete damage.	¹ / ₂ -inch diameter rebars used for longitudinal and lateral reinforcement. A U-bar added around the anchor bolt insert in the barrier.
Cut-out toe	Cut-out toes in both ends of the barrier. Allowed excessive rotation of adjacent segments.	Removed cut-out toes to reduce barrier rotation.
Pin-loop connection	Two sets of two loops. Resulted in excessive connection damage.	Changed to two sets of three loops to strengthen the connection.
Anchor plate	⁵ /16-inch thick welded anchor plate. Had excessive damage and did not provide adequate anchorage.	Thicker ¹ / ₂ -inch plate used to improve anchorage capacity.

Table 2.1. Summary of Changes between Original and Modified Barrier Design.

2.4. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF MODIFIED DESIGN

A detailed full-scale FE model of the modified Maryland temporary precast single-face F-type concrete barrier was developed as shown in Figure 2.12. A closer isometric view of the barrier end model is shown in Figure 2.13. Reinforcement details are also shown in Figure 2.14.



Figure 2.12. FE Model of Modified Barrier Segment.



Figure 2.13. Isometric View of Modified Barrier Segment FE Model.



Figure 2.14. Reinforcement Details of Modified Barrier Model.

Impact simulations for *MASH* TL-3 conditions were performed on the modified barrier system. The modified barrier system was comprised of eight segments, for a total length of 96 ft and 10 inches, as shown in Figure 2.15.



Figure 2.15. Modified Barrier System with Eight Segments.

For *MASH* Test 3-11, the pickup truck model impacted the system at 62 mi/h and an angle of 25 degrees. The impact point was 4.3 ft upstream of the joint between barrier 4 and barrier 5, as recommended in *MASH*. The modified barrier system successfully contained and

redirected the vehicle. All occupant risk metrics were within *MASH* limits. The maximum occupant impact velocity (OIV) was 33.3 ft/s, and the ridedown acceleration was 17.8 g. The dynamic and permanent barrier deflections were 3.4 inches and 2.6 inches, respectively. Front-and top-view sequential frames from the *MASH* Test 3-11 simulation with the pickup truck are shown in Figure 2.16 and Figure 2.17, respectively.



a. Vehicle Impacting the System



b. Vehicle Front Impact Side Interacting with System at 0.12 s



c. Vehicle Rear Impact Side Backslapping the System at 0.3 s



d. Vehicle Redirecting in Stable State at 0.63 s

Figure 2.16. Front-View Simulation Frames of *MASH* Test 3-11 for Modified Barrier Design.



d. Vehicle Redirecting in Stable State at 0.63 s

Figure 2.17. Top-View Simulation Frames of *MASH* Test 3-11 for Modified Barrier Design.

Moderate concrete damage around the impact area was observed for the *MASH* Test 3-11 simulation, as shown in Figure 2.18 and Figure 2.19, where the red color shows full concrete damage and the blue or no color represents no concrete damage. The anchor plates and pin-and-loop connections successfully prevented the system from excessive deflection.



Figure 2.18. Isometric View of Impacted Area after MASH Test 3-11.



a. Top View of Concrete Damage



b. Front View of Concrete Damage



c. Concrete Damage Scale

Figure 2.19. Concrete Damage of Modified Barrier Design after MASH Test 3-11.

The FE model of the modified barrier system was also evaluated using the impact conditions of *MASH* Test 3-10, which involves a passenger car model impacting the system at a 62 mi/h impact speed and 25-degree orientation angle. From the perspective of the barrier's structural integrity and anchorage design, the impact with the lighter small car is considered less critical than the impact with the heavier pickup truck. The main goal of the simulation with the

small car was to evaluate the stability of the vehicle due to impact and the resulting occupant risk and occupant compartment deformation.

The impact point in the simulation with the small car was 3.6 ft upstream of the joint between barrier 4 and barrier 5, which is typical based on *MASH* recommendations. The modified barrier system successfully contained and redirected the vehicle. All occupant risk metrics except the maximum OIV were within *MASH* limits. The maximum OIV in the simulation was 40.5 ft/s, slightly higher than the allowable *MASH* limit of 40 ft/s. However, based on previous tests and experience using the small car model, the OIV is often overestimated in the simulation due to the lack of suspension and steering failure in the simulation models. Failure of suspension and steering joints and members is very commonly observed in crash testing, which results in reducing the snag of the vehicle with the barrier. Lack of this failure in the simulation was very close to the *MASH* threshold, the researchers felt comfortable proceeding with the design without making additional changes.

Deflections of the barrier system for the *MASH* Test 3-11 and Test 3-10 impact simulations are summarized in Table 2.2.

Parameter	MASH Test 3-11	MASH Test 3-10
Dynamic Deflection	3.9 in	2.0 in
Permanent Deflection	2.6 in	1.7 in

 Table 2.2. Modified Barrier System Deflections for MASH TL-3 Simulations.

2.5. CONCLUSIONS

The originally proposed design of the anchored Maryland temporary precast single-face F-type concrete barrier was evaluated with full-scale FE impact simulations using *MASH* Test 3-11 impact conditions. The results showed that the original design of the barrier was not adequate to withstand *MASH* TL-3 impact forces.

The research team recommended design modifications to improve the barrier's performance and reach *MASH* TL-3 compliance. The recommendations were implemented in coordination with MDOT, and the modified barrier design was evaluated through full-scale impact simulations using *MASH* TL-3 impact conditions.

The modified anchored barrier model successfully passed *MASH* Test 3-11 and Test 3-10 evaluation criteria in the simulation analyses. Due to the satisfactory performance of the modified design in the simulations, the research team recommended the design for full-scale crash testing.

Chapter 3. SYSTEM DETAILS

3.1. TEST ARTICLE AND INSTALLATION DETAILS

The installation consisted of eight 12-ft long and 32-inch tall concrete barrier segments that had the F-shape profile on the impact side and a single-slope profile on the non-impact side. The segments were connected end-to-end, for a total length of 96 ft 10 inches. Each barrier segment measured 17 inches wide at the bottom, with a 3-inch tall toe on the traffic side of the barrier. The width at the top of the segments was $6\frac{1}{2}$ inches. The barriers were joined with a 1-inch diameter pin that was inserted through three hot-rolled A36 mating loops on each end of the barrier segments. The barrier segment via bent anchor brackets that were bolted to the traffic side toe of each barrier segment, and then epoxy-anchored to the underlying concrete pavement. Each barrier had two such anchor brackets that were positioned 2 ft from each end of the barrier segment. The barrier was installed at a 2-inch offset from the edge of the underlying concrete pavement.

Figure 3.1 presents the overall information on the anchored Maryland F-type temporary barrier, and Figure 3.2 through Figure 3.7 provide photographs of the installation. Appendix A provides further details on the barrier. Drawings were provided by the TTI Proving Ground, and construction was performed by MBC Management and supervised by TTI Proving Ground personnel.

3.2. DESIGN MODIFICATIONS DURING TESTS

No modifications were made to the installation during the testing phase.



Q:\Accreditation-17025-2017\EIR-000 Project Files\614271-12- Maryland F-shape - Chiara\Drafting, 614271\614271 Drawing

Figure 3.1. Details of Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier.



Figure 3.2. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier prior to Testing—Front View of the Installation.



Figure 3.3. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier prior to Testing—Back View of the Installation.



Figure 3.4. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier prior to Testing—Lateral View of the Installation.



Figure 3.5. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier prior to Testing—Vehicle Tire Trajectory (Orange Line) Aimed at Targeted Barrier-Vehicle Impact Location.



Figure 3.6. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier prior to Testing—Ground Connection Details.



Figure 3.7. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier prior to Testing—Segment Connection Details.
3.3. MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS

Appendix B provides material certification documents for the materials used to install/construct the Maryland F-type temporary barrier. Table 3.1 shows the average compressive strengths of the concrete on the day of the first test, November 1, 2022.

Location	Design Strength (psi)	Avg. Strength (psi)	Age (days)	Detailed Location
Deck	4500	4478	29	100% of the Concrete Pavement
Barrier 4 Core	4500	4660	Not Known	Precast Barrier from Test 3-10
Barrier 5 Core	4500	5170	Not Known	Precast Barrier from Test 3-10
Barrier 4 Core	4500	4540	Not Known	Precast Barrier from Test 3-11
Barrier 5 Core	4500	4910	Not Known	Precast Barrier from Test 3-11

Table 3.1. Concrete Strength.

The strength of the concrete pavement was slightly under the specified minimum. However, it was deemed acceptable for use in the crash test since the difference was very small, and successful testing on slightly reduced pavement concrete strength would still allow use of the barrier on pavements or decks with higher concrete strength.

Chapter 4. TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

4.1. CRASH TEST PERFORMED/MATRIX

Table 4.1 shows the test conditions and evaluation criteria for *MASH* TL-3 for longitudinal barriers. The target critical impact points (CIPs) for each test were determined using the information provided in *MASH* Section 2.2.1 and Section 2.3.2. Figure 4.1 shows the target CIP for *MASH* Tests 3-10 and 3-11 on the anchored Maryland F-type temporary barrier.

Table 4.1. Test Conditions and Evaluation Criteria Specified by MASH TL-3 for
Longitudinal Barriers.





The crash tests and data analysis procedures were in accordance with guidelines presented in *MASH*. Chapter 5 presents brief descriptions of these procedures.

4.2. EVALUATION CRITERIA

The appropriate safety evaluation criteria from Tables 2.2 and 5.1 of *MASH* were used to evaluate the crash tests reported herein. Table 4.1 lists the test conditions and evaluation criteria required for *MASH* TL-3, and Table 4.2 provides detailed information on the evaluation criteria.

Evaluation Factors	Evaluation Criteria	MASH Test
А.	Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or bring the vehicle to a controlled stop; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.	3-10 & 3-11
D.	Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.2.2 and Appendix E of <i>MASH</i> .	3-10 & 3-11
F.	The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.	3-10 & 3-11
Н.	Occupant impact velocities (OIV) should satisfy the following limits: Preferred value of 30 ft/s, or maximum allowable value of 40 ft/s.	3-10 & 3-11
I.	The occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Preferred value of 15.0 g, or maximum allowable value of 20.49 g.	3-10 & 3-11

Table 4.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for *MASH* Testing.

Chapter 5. TEST CONDITIONS

5.1. TEST FACILITY

The full-scale crash tests reported herein were performed at the TTI Proving Ground, an International Standards Organization (ISO)/International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) 17025-accredited laboratory with American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) Mechanical Testing Certificate 2821.01. The full-scale crash tests were performed according to TTI Proving Ground quality procedures, as well as *MASH* guidelines and standards.

The test facilities of the TTI Proving Ground are located on The Texas A&M University System RELLIS Campus, which consists of a 2000-acre complex of research and training facilities situated 10 mi northwest of the flagship campus of Texas A&M University. The site, formerly a United States Army Air Corps base, has large expanses of concrete runways and parking aprons well suited for experimental research and testing in the areas of vehicle performance and handling, vehicle-roadway interaction, highway pavement durability and efficacy, and roadside safety hardware and perimeter protective device evaluation. The sites selected for construction and testing are along the edge of an out-of-service apron/runway. The apron/runway consists of an unreinforced jointed-concrete pavement in 12.5-ft × 15-ft blocks nominally 6 inches deep. The aprons were built in 1942, and the joints have some displacement but are otherwise flat and level.

5.2. VEHICLE TOW AND GUIDANCE SYSTEM

The 1100C and 2270P vehicles used in the tests were towed into the test installation using a steel cable guidance and reverse tow system. A steel cable for guiding the test vehicle was tensioned along the path, anchored at each end, and threaded through an attachment to the front wheel of the test vehicle. An additional steel cable was connected to the test vehicle, passed around a pulley near the impact point and through a pulley on the tow vehicle, and then anchored to the ground such that the tow vehicle moved away from the test site. A 2:1 speed ratio between the test and tow vehicle existed with this system. Just prior to impact with the installation, the test vehicle was released and ran unrestrained. The vehicle remained freewheeling (i.e., no steering or braking inputs) until it cleared the immediate area of the test site.

5.3. DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEMS

5.3.1. Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing

Each test vehicle was instrumented with a self-contained onboard data acquisition system. The signal conditioning and acquisition system is a multi-channel data acquisition system (DAS) produced by Diversified Technical Systems Inc. The accelerometers, which measure the x, y, and z axis of vehicle acceleration, are strain gauge type with linear millivolt output proportional to acceleration. Angular rate sensors, measuring vehicle roll, pitch, and yaw rates, are ultra-small, solid-state units designed for crash test service. The data acquisition hardware and software conform to the latest SAE J211, Instrumentation for Impact Test. Each of the channels is capable of providing precision amplification, scaling, and filtering based on transducer specifications and calibrations. During the test, data are recorded from each channel at a rate of 10,000 samples per second with a resolution of one part in 65,536. Once data are recorded, internal batteries back these up inside the unit in case the primary battery cable is severed. Initial contact of the pressure switch on the vehicle bumper provides a time zero mark and initiates the recording process. After each test, the data are downloaded from the DAS unit into a laptop computer at the test site. The Test Risk Assessment Program (TRAP) software then processes the raw data to produce detailed reports of the test results.

Each DAS is returned to the factory annually for complete recalibration and to ensure that all instrumentation used in the vehicle conforms to the specifications outlined by SAE J211. All accelerometers are calibrated annually by means of an ENDEVCO[®] 2901 precision primary vibration standard. This standard and its support instruments are checked annually and receive a National Institute of Standards Technology (NIST) traceable calibration. The rate transducers used in the data acquisition system receive calibration via a Genisco Rate-of-Turn table. The subsystems of each data channel are also evaluated annually, using instruments with current NIST traceability, and the results are factored into the accuracy of the total data channel per SAE J211. Calibrations and evaluations are also made anytime data are suspect. Acceleration data are measured with an expanded uncertainty of ± 1.7 percent at a confidence factor of 95 percent (k = 2).

TRAP uses the DAS-captured data to compute the occupant/compartment impact velocities, time of occupant/compartment impact after vehicle impact, and highest 10-millisecond (ms) average ridedown acceleration. TRAP calculates change in vehicle velocity at the end of a given impulse period. In addition, maximum average accelerations over 50-ms intervals in each of the three directions are computed. For reporting purposes, the data from the vehicle-mounted accelerometers are filtered with an SAE Class 180-Hz low-pass digital filter, and acceleration versus time curves for the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions are plotted using TRAP.

TRAP uses the data from the yaw, pitch, and roll rate transducers to compute angular displacement in degrees at 0.0001-s intervals, and then plots yaw, pitch, and roll versus time. These displacements are in reference to the vehicle-fixed coordinate system with the initial position and orientation being initial impact. Rate of rotation data is measured with an expanded uncertainty of ± 0.7 percent at a confidence factor of 95 percent (k = 2).

5.3.2. Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation

An Alderson Research Laboratories Hybrid II, 50th percentile male anthropomorphic dummy, restrained with lap and shoulder belts, was placed in the front seat on the impact side of the 1100C vehicle. The dummy was not instrumented.

According to MASH, use of a dummy in the 2270P vehicle is optional, and no dummy was used in the test.

5.3.3. Photographic Instrumentation Data Processing

Photographic coverage of each test included three digital high-speed cameras:

- One located overhead with a field of view perpendicular to the ground and directly over the impact point.
- One placed upstream from the installation at an angle to have a field of view of the interaction of the rear of the vehicle with the installation.
- A third placed with a field of view parallel to and aligned with the installation at the downstream end.

A flashbulb on the impacting vehicle was activated by a pressure-sensitive tape switch to indicate the instant of contact with the Maryland F-type temporary barrier. The flashbulb was visible from each camera. The video files from these digital high-speed cameras were analyzed to observe phenomena occurring during the collision and to obtain time-event, displacement, and angular data. A digital camera recorded and documented conditions of each test vehicle and the installation before and after the test.

Chapter 6. MASHTEST 3-10 (CRASH TEST NO. 614271-12-2)

6.1. TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS

See Table 6.1 for details on *MASH* impact conditions and Table 6.2 for the exit parameters for Test 614271-12-2. Figure 6.1 and Figure 6.2 depict the target impact setup.

Test Parameter	Specification	Tolerance	Measured
Impact Speed (mi/h)	62	±2.5 mi/h	63.2
Impact Angle (deg)	25	±1.5°	25.1
Impact Severity (kip-ft)	51	≥51 kip-ft	58.1
Impact Location	43.2 inches upstream from centerline of joint between barrier 4 and 5	±12 inches	43.5 inches upstream from centerline of joint between barrier 4 and 5

 Table 6.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.

Table 6.2. Exit Parameters for MASH Test 3-10, Crash Test 614271-12-2.

Exit Parameter	Measured	
Speed (mi/h)	48.9	
Trajectory (deg)	5	
Heading (deg)	10	
Brakes applied post impact (s)) 2.7	
Vehicle at rest position	257 ft downstream of impact point2 ft to the field side60° left	
Comments:Vehicle remained upright and stable.Vehicle crossed exit boxa 58 ft downstream from loss of commentation		

^a Not less than 32.8 ft downstream from loss of contact for cars and pickups is optimal.



Figure 6.1. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier and Test Vehicle Geometrics for Test 614271-12-2.



Figure 6.2. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier and Test Vehicle Impact Location for Test 614271-12-2.

6.2. WEATHER CONDITIONS

Table 6.3 provides the weather conditions for Test 614271-12-2.

Date of Test	2022-11-01 AM
Wind Speed (mi/h)	4
Wind Direction (deg)	9
Temperature (°F)	73
Relative Humidity (%)	56
Vehicle Traveling (deg)	195

 Table 6.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-2.

6.3. TEST VEHICLE

Figure 6.3 and Figure 6.4 show the 2018 Nissan Versa used for the crash test. Table 6.4 shows the vehicle measurements. Figure C.1 in Appendix C.1 gives additional dimensions and information on the vehicle.



Figure 6.3. Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 614271-12-2.



Figure 6.4. Opposite Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 614271-12-2.

Test Parameter	MASH	Allowed Tolerance	Measured
Dummy (if applicable) ^a (lb)	165	N/A	165
Inertial Weight (lb)	2420	±55	2420
Gross Static ^a (lb)	2585	±55	2585
Wheelbase (inches)	98	±5	102.4
Front Overhang (inches)	35	±4	32.5
Overall Length (inches)	169	± 8	175.4
Overall Width (inches)	65	±3	66.7
Hood Height (inches)	28	±4	30.5
Track Width ^b (inches)	59	±2	58.4
CG aft of Front Axle ^c (inches)	39	±4	41.7
CG above Ground ^{c,d} (inches)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 6.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-2.

Note: N/A = not applicable; CG = center of gravity. ^a If a dummy is used, the gross static vehicle mass should be increased by the mass of the dummy. ^b Average of front and rear axles.

° For test inertial mass.

^d 2270P vehicle must meet minimum CG height requirement.

6.4. TEST DESCRIPTION

Table 6.5 lists events that occurred during Test No. 614271-12-2. Figures C.4 through C.6 in Appendix C.2 present sequential photographs during the test.

Time (s)	Events		
0.0000	Vehicle impacted the installation		
0.0190	Vehicle began to redirect		
0.0300	Barrier 4 at joint 4–5 began to move toward the field side		
0.0380	Barrier 5 at joint 4–5 began to move toward the field side		
0.1730	Rear passenger-side tire impacted the barrier		
0.2030	Vehicle was parallel with the installation		
0.3540	Vehicle exited the installation at 48.9 mi/h with a heading angle of 10 degrees and a trajectory angle of 5 degrees		

Table 6.5. Events during Test 614271-12-2.

6.5. DAMAGE TO TEST INSTALLATION

There was damage at each anchor position for barriers 4 and 5, with cracks at the downstream anchor on barrier 4 and the upstream anchor on barrier 5. Barrier 5 also had some spalling on the field side. Table 6.6 describes the damage to the anchored Maryland F-type temporary barrier. Figure 6.5 and Figure 6.6 show the damage to the barrier.

Test Parameter	Measured	
Permanent Deflection/Location	1 inch toward field side, at the joint of barriers 4 and 5	
Dynamic Deflection	4.7 inches toward field side at the top of the barrier at the joint of barriers 4 and 5	
Working Width ^a and Height	18.7 inches, at a height of 0 inches at the field side toe of the barrier at the joint of barriers 4 and 5	

^a Per *MASH*, "The working width is the maximum dynamic lateral position of any major part of the system or vehicle. These measurements are all relative to the pre-impact traffic face of the test article." In other words, working width is the total barrier width plus the maximum dynamic intrusion of any portion of the barrier or test vehicle past the field side edge of the barrier.



Figure 6.5. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier after Test near Impact Location for Test 614271-12-2.



Figure 6.6. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier after Test at the Joint of Barriers 4 and 5 for Test 614271-12-2.

6.6. DAMAGE TO TEST VEHICLE

Figure 6.7 and Figure 6.8 show the damage sustained by the vehicle. Figure 6.9 and Figure 6.10 show the interior of the test vehicle. Table 6.7 and Table 6.8 provide details on the occupant compartment deformation and exterior vehicle damage. Figures C.2 and C.3 in Appendix C.1 provide exterior crush and occupant compartment measurements.



Figure 6.7. Impact Side of Test Vehicle after Test 614271-12-2.



Figure 6.8. Rear Impact Side of Test Vehicle after Test 614271-12-2.



Figure 6.9. Overall Interior of Test Vehicle after Test 614271-12-2.



Figure 6.10. Interior of Test Vehicle on Impact Side after Test 614271-12-2.

Test Parameter	Specification	Measured
Roof	\leq 4.0 inches	-2 inches
Windshield	\leq 3.0 inches	1.5 inches
A and B Pillars	\leq 5.0 overall/ \leq 3.0 inches lateral	-1 inches
Foot Well/Toe Pan	≤9.0 inches	-2 inches
Floor Pan/Transmission Tunnel	≤ 12.0 inches	0 inches
Side Front Panel	≤ 12.0 inches	-5 inches
Front Door (above Seat)	≤9.0 inches	-5.5 inches
Front Door (below Seat)	≤ 12.0 inches	0 inches

 Table 6.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-2.

Fable 6.8. Exterio	r Vehicle Damage fo	or Test 614271-12-2.
---------------------------	---------------------	----------------------

Side Windows	Intact
Maximum Exterior Deformation	12 inches in the front plane at the right front corner at bumper height
VDS	01RFQ4
CDC	01FREW4
Fuel Tank Damage	None
Description of Damage to Vehicle:	The front bumper, hood, grill, right and left headlights, radiator and support, right front quarter panel, right front tire and rim, right front strut and tower, right A pillar, windshield, right front door, right front floor pan and kick panel, and rear bumper were damaged. Damage to the windshield was caused by the flexing of the vehicle body during impact, not from contact with the test article. There was a 40-inch \times 30-inch crack in the windshield, but there was no hole in the laminate. The right front door had a 5.5-inch gap at the top. There was a 14-inch \times 37-inch \times 0.5-inch deep dent in the roof at the B pillar. The floor pan had a seam that separated (5 inches long) at the kick panel.

6.7. OCCUPANT RISK FACTORS

Data from the accelerometers were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk, and the results are shown in Table 6.9. Figure C.7 in Appendix C.3 shows the vehicle angular displacements, and Figures C.8 through C.10 in Appendix C.4 show acceleration versus time traces. Figure 6.11 summarizes the results of the conducted full-scale crash test for *MASH* Test 3-10.

Test Parameter	MASH	Measured	Time
OIV, Longitudinal (ft/s)	≤40.0	25.2	0.0861 seconds on right side of interior
	30.0^{a}		
OIV, Lateral (ft/s)	≤40.0	28.4	0.0861 seconds on right side of interior
	30.0		
Ridedown, Longitudinal (g)	≤20.49	6.0	0.0861–0.0961 seconds
	15.0		
Ridedown, Lateral (g)	≤20.49	6.7	0.1049–0.1149 seconds
	15.0		
Theoretical Head Impact	N/A	11.2	0.0835 seconds on right side of interior
Velocity (THIV) (m/s)			
Acceleration Severity Index	N/A	2.2	0.0485–0.0985 seconds
(ASI)			
50-ms Moving Avg.		12.0	
Accelerations (MA)	N/A	-13.9	0.0170–0.0670 seconds
Eoligitudinal (g)	N T / A	16.6	0.0175.0.0(75
50-ms MA Lateral (g)	N/A	-16.6	0.01/5–0.06/5 seconds
50-ms MA Vertical (g)	N/A	-5.5	0.0496–0.0996 seconds
Roll (deg)	≤75	29	1.1361 seconds
Pitch (deg)	≤75	10	0.6495 seconds
Yaw (deg)	N/A	56	0.8791 seconds

Table 6.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-2.

^a Values in italics are the preferred *MASH* values.

Test Assess			— •	0) (T					
Test Agency			Texas A	Texas A&M Transportation Institute (111)					
1990		Contract of		Test Sta	indard/Test No.	MASH 2	2016, Test 3	-10	
State of the second second	Contraction of the	and the second			ITI Project No.	614271-	-12-2		
				Test Date	2022-11	-01			
			TEST A	RTICLE		× .			
the sector of the		·			Туре	Longitu	dinal Barrie	r	
					Name	Marylar	nd F-Type T	emporary Barrier	
And the second					Length	96 ft 10	inches		1 1/ 1 1
0.00)0 s				Key Materials	ASTM A	A36 plate ar ion pins	t F-type barriers; 6-inc ichor brackets; 1-inch o	h × ½-ınch liameter
Soil Type and Condition			Concret	e, damp					
		TEST VI	EHICLE						
		Type/Designation			1100 C				
				Year, M	lake and Model	2018 Ni	ssan Versa		
	-			Iner	tial Weight (lb)	2420			
					Dummy (lb)	165			
	2 de la	-		0	bross Static (lb)	2585			
0.20)0 s		IMPACT	CONDI	TIONS				
				Impa	ct Speed (mi/h)	63.2			
				Imp	act Angle (deg)	25.1			
		Refine.		Iı	mpact Location	43.5 inc barrier 4	43.5 inches upstream from centerline of joint between barrier 4 and 5		int between
		1 - mail	Impact Severity (kip-ft)		58.1				
	and the	I'- BEAT	EXIT CC	ONDITIO	NS	_			
And the second s	D	12-1	Exit Speed (mi/h)			48.9			
	-		Traject	ory/Headi	ng Angle (deg)	5/10			
A DECEMBER OF THE PARTY OF THE			Exit Box Criteria			Vehicle	crossed the	exit angle box	
	a destruction of	and the second second		Sto	pping Distance	257 ft d 2 ft to th	ownstream ne field side		
0.40)0 s		TEST A	RTICLE	DEFLECTIONS				
		River	Dynamic (inches)			4.7			
Second for 1	inter	Case I Proven		Perr	nanent (inches)	1			
THE	1000		Working Width/Height (inches)			18.7/0			
	1200		VEHICL	E DAMA	GE	1			
	C.L.M.				VDS	01RFQ4	1		
					CDC	01FREV	W4		
	-	17.		Max. Ex	t. Deformation	12			
0.60	00 s		Max	Coccupan	t Compartment Deformation	5.5 inch	es at the doo	or	
		i	00	CUPAN	T RISK VALUE	S			
Long. OIV (ft/s)	25.2	Long. Rideo	down (g)	6.0	Max 50-ms Lor	ng. (g)	-13.9	Max Roll (deg)	29
Lat. OIV (ft/s)	28.4	Lat. Ridedo	wn (g)	6.7	Max 50-ms Lat	t. (g)	-16.6	Max Pitch (deg)	10
THIV (m/s)	11.2	ASI	2.2 Max 50-ms Ver			rt. (g)	-5.5	Max Yaw (deg)	56
2'		257'	Exit	Heading Exit A Angle Box	Angle 14.2' ngle 3.6' Impact Angl		32* 4500 9* 2010	psi un-reinferced concrote	
	Exit Angle Box-								

Figure 6.11. Summary of Results for *MASH* Test 3-10 on Anchored Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier.

Chapter 7. MASH TEST 3-11 (CRASH TEST NO. 614271-12-1)

7.1. TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS

See Table 7.1 for details on *MASH* impact conditions and Table 7.2 for the exit parameters for Test 614271-12-1. Figure 7.1 and Figure 7.2 depict the target impact setup.

Test Parameter	Specification	Tolerance	Measured
Impact Speed (mi/h)	62 mi/h	±2.5 mi/h	61.8
Impact Angle (deg)	25°	±1.5°	24.9
Impact Severity (kip-ft)	106 kip-ft	≥106 kip-ft	113.6
Impact Location	51.6 inches upstream from centerline of joint between barrier 3 and 4	±12 inches	54.1 inches upstream from centerline of joint between barrier 3 and 4

 Table 7.1. Impact Conditions for MASH Test 3-11, Crash Test 614271-12-1.

Exit Parameter	Measured		
Speed (mi/h)	51.8		
Trajectory (deg)	2		
Heading (deg)	9		
Brakes applied post impact (s)	2.3		
	216 ft downstream of impact point		
Vehicle at rest position	9 ft to the traffic side		
-	90° left		
Comments:	Vehicle remained upright and stable.		
	Vehicle crossed the exit box ^a 77 ft downstream from loss of contact.		

Table 7.2. F	Exit Parameters	for MASH	Test 3-11.	Crash Tes	t 614271-12-1.
	And I an annoully		10500 119		

^a Not less than 32.8 ft downstream from loss of contact for cars and pickups is optimal.



Figure 7.1. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier and Test Vehicle Geometrics for Test 614271-12-1.



Figure 7.2. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier and Test Vehicle Impact Location for Test 614271-12-1.

7.2. WEATHER CONDITIONS

Table 7.3 provides the weather conditions for Test 614271-12-1.

Date of Test	2022-11-16 PM
Wind Speed (mi/h)	11
Wind Direction (deg)	46
Temperature (°F)	52
Relative Humidity (%)	54
Vehicle Traveling (deg)	325

 Table 7.3. Weather Conditions for Test 614271-12-1.

7.3. TEST VEHICLE

Figure 7.3 and Figure 7.4 show the 2019 RAM 1500 used for the crash test. Table 7.4 shows the vehicle measurements. Figure D.1 in Appendix D.1 gives additional dimensions and information on the vehicle.



Figure 7.3. Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 614271-12-1.



Figure 7.4. Opposite Impact Side of Test Vehicle before Test 614271-12-1.

Test Parameter	MASH	Allowed Tolerance	Measured
Dummy (if applicable) ^a (lb)	165	N/A	N/A
Inertial Weight (lb)	5000	±110	5020
Gross Static ^a (lb)	5000	± 110	5020
Wheelbase (inches)	148	±12	140.5
Front Overhang (inches)	39	± 3	40.0
Overall Length (inches)	237	±13	227.5
Overall Width (inches)	78	±2	78.5
Hood Height (inches)	43	±4	46.0
Track Width ^b (inches)	67	±1.5	68.25
CG aft of Front Axle ^c (inches)	63	±4	61.2
CG above Ground ^{c,d} (inches)	28	≥28	28.4

 Table 7.4. Vehicle Measurements for Test 614271-12-1.

^a If a dummy is used, the gross static vehicle mass should be increased by the mass of the dummy. ^b Average of front and rear axles.

^c For test inertial mass.

^d 2270P vehicle must meet minimum CG height requirement.

7.4. TEST DESCRIPTION

Table 7.5 lists events that occurred during Test No. 614271-12-1. Figures D.4 through D.6 in Appendix D.2 present sequential photographs during the test.

Time (s)	Events
0.0000	Vehicle impacted the installation
0.0190	Barrier 3 began to move toward the field side
0.0410	Vehicle began to redirect
0.0380	Barrier 4 began to move toward the field side
0.1930	Vehicle was parallel with the installation
0.2010	Driver-side rear bumper contacted the barrier
0.3600	Vehicle exited the installation at 51.8 mi/h with a heading angle of 9 degrees and a trajectory angle of 2 degrees

Table 7.5. Events during Test 614271-12-1.

7.5. DAMAGE TO TEST INSTALLATION

There was significant damage to barriers 3 and 4 at the anchor locations, and some rebar was exposed. Barrier 3 also had spalling on the field side with exposed rebar, and it moved ¹/₈ inch downstream and up 1¹/₄ inch on the field side at the joint of barriers 2 and 3. Barrier 4 sustained a break in the concrete from the traffic side to field side. There was some spalling on the field side of barrier 2 at the joint of barriers 2 and 3. Table 7.6 describes the damage to the anchored Maryland F-type temporary barrier. Figure 7.5 and Figure 7.6 show the damage to the barrier.

Test Parameter	Measured
Permanent Deflection/Location	6.9 inches toward field side at the top of the barrier at the joint of barriers 3 and 4
Dynamic Deflection	8.1 inches toward field side at the top of the barrier at the joint of barriers 3 and 4
Working Width ^a and Height	The side view mirror at 28 inches, at a height of 60.75 inches

Table 7.6. Damage to Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier for Test 614271-12-1.

^a Per *MASH*, "The working width is the maximum dynamic lateral position of any major part of the system or vehicle. These measurements are all relative to the pre-impact traffic face of the test article." In other words, working width is the total barrier width plus the maximum dynamic intrusion of any portion of the barrier or test vehicle past the field side edge of the barrier.



Figure 7.5. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier after Test at Impact Location for Test 614271-12-1.



Figure 7.6. Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier after Test at the Joint of Barriers 3 and 4 for Test 614271-12-1.

7.6. DAMAGE TO TEST VEHICLE

Figure 7.7 and Figure 7.8 show the damage sustained by the vehicle. Figure 7.9 and Figure 7.10 show the interior of the test vehicle. Table 7.7 and Table 7.8 provide details on the occupant compartment deformation and exterior vehicle damage. Figures D.2 and D.3 in Appendix D.1 provide exterior crush and occupant compartment measurements.



Figure 7.7. Impact Side of Test Vehicle after Test 614271-12-1.



Figure 7.8. Rear Impact Side of Test Vehicle after Test 614271-12-1.



Figure 7.9. Overall Interior of Test Vehicle after Test 614271-12-1.



Figure 7.10. Interior of Test Vehicle on Impact Side after Test 614271-12-1.

Test Parameter	Specification	Measured
Roof	\leq 4.0 inches	0 inches
Windshield	\leq 3.0 inches	0 inches
A and B Pillars	\leq 5.0 overall/ \leq 3.0 inches lateral	0 inches
Foot Well/Toe Pan	≤9.0 inches	-2 inches
Floor Pan/Transmission Tunnel	≤ 12.0 inches	0 inches
Side Front Panel	≤ 12.0 inches	0 inches
Front Door (above Seat)	≤9.0 inches	0 inches
Front Door (below Seat)	≤12.0 inches	0 inches

 Table 7.7. Occupant Compartment Deformation for Test 614271-12-1.

Table 7.8. Exterior	· Vehicle Damage	for Test 614271-12-1.
---------------------	------------------	-----------------------

Side Windows	The side windows remained intact			
Maximum Exterior Deformation	12 inches in the front plane at the left front corner at bumper height			
VDS	11LFQ3			
CDC	11FLEW2			
Fuel Tank Damage	None			
Description of Damage to Vehicle:	The front bumper, hood, grill, left headlight, left front tire and rim, left front lower control arm, left front quarter fender, left front door, left front floor pan, left rear door, left cab corner, left rear quarter fender, left rear tire and rim, left taillight, and rear bumper were damaged. The left front door had a 1-inch gap at the top.			

7.7. OCCUPANT RISK FACTORS

Data from the accelerometers were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk, and the results are shown in Table 7.9. Figure D.7 in Appendix D.3 shows the vehicle angular displacements, and Figures D.8 through D.10 in Appendix D.4 show acceleration versus time traces. Figure 7.11 summarizes the results of the full-scale crash test for *MASH* Test 3-11.

Test Parameter	MASH	Measured	Time
OIV, Longitudinal (ft/s)	≤40.0	15.1	0.0982 seconds on left side of interior
	30.0^{a}		
OIV, Lateral (ft/s)	≤40.0	24.5	0.0982 seconds on left side of interior
	30.0		
Ridedown, Longitudinal (g)	≤20.49	5.6	0.1020–0.1120 seconds
	15.0		
Ridedown, Lateral (g)	≤20.49	10.2	0.2300–0.2400 seconds
	15.0		
THIV (m/s)	N/A	8.8	0.0958 seconds on left side of interior
ASI	N/A	1.5	0.0597–0.1097 seconds
50-ms MA Longitudinal (g)	N/A	-7.1	0.0337–0.0837 seconds
50-ms MA Lateral (g)	N/A	11.9	0.0417–0.0917 seconds
50-ms MA Vertical (g)	N/A	-3.2	0.0250–0.0750 seconds
Roll (deg)	≤75	40	0.7458 seconds
Pitch (deg)	$\leq \overline{75}$	6	0.7393 seconds
Yaw (deg)	N/A	50	1.2135 seconds

Table 7.9. Occupant Risk Factors for Test 614271-12-1.

^a Values in italics are the preferred *MASH* values.

	Test Agency			Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)					
			Test Standard/Test No.			MASH 2016, Test 3-11			
		all a			ΓΤΙ Project No.	614271-12-1			
	The Art And Art And Art				Test Date	2022-11-16			
			TEST ARTICLE						
			Туре			Longitudinal Barrier			
			Name			Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier			
			Length			96 ft 10 inches			
0.000 s			Key Materials			Eight anchored 12 ft F-type barriers; 6-inch × ½-inch ASTM A36 plate anchor brackets; 1-inch diameter connection pins			
		ART	Soil Type and Condition			Concrete, damp			
		194	TEST VEHICLE						
		- 04	Type/Designation 2270P						
			Year, Make and Model			2019 RAM 1500			
			Inertial Weight (lb)			5020			
					Dummy (lb)	N/A			
				(Bross Static (lb)	5020			
0.20)0 s		IMPACT		TIONS				
				Impa	ct Speed (mi/h)	61.8			
			Impact Angle (deg)			24.9			
			Impact Location			54.1 inches upstream from centerline of joint between barrier 3 and 4			
		1 Charles	Impact Severity (kip-ft)			113.6			
	N	1 h . i have	EXIT CONDITIONS						
		andes	Exit Speed (mi/h)			51.8			
			Trajectory/Heading Angle (deg)			2/9			
	-			E	xit Box Criteria	Vehicle crossed the exit box			
						216 ft downstream			
			Stopping Distance			9 ft to the traffic side			
0.40)0 s		TEST A	RTICLE	DEFLECTIONS	;			
		- And	Dynamic (inches)			8.1			
1				Perr	nanent (inches)	6.9			
	$n > \dots$		Workin	ng Width/	Height (inches)	28/60.75			
6 AND ST	1		VEHICLE DAMAGE						
		VDS			11LFQ3				
generation of the second secon	-				CDC	11FLEW2			
				Max. Ext. Deformation		12			
0.60	0.600 s Max Occupant Compartment Deformation 2 inches in the floor pan								
			00	CUPAN	T RISK VALUE	S			
Long. OIV (ft/s)	15.1	Long. Rideo	lown (g)	5.6	Max 50-ms Lo	ng. (g)	-7.1	Max Roll (deg)	40
Lat. OIV (ft/s)	24.5	Lat. Ridedo	wn (g)	10.2	Max 50-ms Lat	t. (g)	11.9	Max Pitch (deg)	6
THIV (m/s)	8.8	ASI		1.5	Max 50-ms Ve	rt. (g)	-3.2	Max Yaw (deg)	50
17.1' 4.5'	Headir	Exit Angle	216'			9' 9'	32 4500 p	12-6"	-2" 4 501

Figure 7.11. Summary of Results for *MASH* Test 3-11 on Maryland F-Type Temporary Barrier.

Chapter 8. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

8.1. ASSESSMENT OF TEST RESULTS

The crash tests reported herein were performed in accordance with *MASH* TL-3, which involves two tests, on the anchored Maryland F-type temporary barrier. Table 8.1 provides a summarized assessment of each test's performance for *MASH* TL-3 evaluation criteria for longitudinal barriers.

Evaluation Criteria ^a	Description	Test No. 614271-12-2	Test No. 614271-12-1
А	Contain, Redirect, or Controlled Stop	S	S
D	No Penetration into Occupant Compartment	S	S
F	Roll and Pitch Limit	S	S
Н	OIV Threshold	S	S
Ι	Ridedown Threshold	S	S
O	verall	Pass	Pass

Table 8.1. Assessment Summary for MASH TL-3 Tests on Maryland F-TypeTemporary Barrier.

Note: S = Satisfactory.

^a See Table 4.2 for details.

8.2. CONCLUSIONS

The anchored Maryland F-type temporary barrier met the performance criteria for *MASH* TL-3 for longitudinal barriers.

REFERENCES

- 1. AASHTO. *Manual for Assessing Roadside Safety Hardware*, Second Edition. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, 2016.
- 2. George Mason University, Center for Collision Safety and Analysis. *Finite Element Models*—2018 Dodge RAM. <u>https://www.ccsa.gmu.edu/models/2018-dodge-ram/</u>
- 3. George Mason University, Center for Collision Safety and Analysis. *Finite Element Models*—2010 Toyota Yaris. https://www.ccsa.gmu.edu/models/2010-toyota-yaris/

APPENDIX A. DETAILS OF ANCHORED MARYLAND F-TYPE TEMPORARY BARRIER



Q:\Accreditation-17025-2017\EIR-000 Project Files\614271-12- Maryland F-shape - Chiara\Drafting, 614271\614271 Drawing





57





Q:\Accreditation-17025-2017\EIR-000 Project Files\614271-12- Maryland F-shape - Chiara\Drafting, 614271\614271 Drawing


APPENDIX B. SUPPORTING CERTIFICATION DOCUMENTS



 FOR
 TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INST

 PB INVOICE
 154742

 CUSTOMER PO
 614271

 SHIP DATE
 8/15/2022

Certificate of Conformance

We certify that the following items were manufactured and tested in accordance with the chemical, mechanical, dimensional and thread fit requirements of the specifications referenced.

Products

- ASTM A193 GRADE B7 STUD
- ASTM F3125 GRADE A325 HEAVY HEX STRUCTURAL BOLT

Nuts

• ASTM A194 GRADE 2H HEAVY HEX NUT

Washers

• ASTM F436 TYPE 1 HARDENED WASHER

Coatings

• ITEMS HOT-DIP GALVANIZED PER ASTM F2329

Certification Department Quality Assurance Dane McKinnon



ALLOY & STAINLESS FASTENERS

11625 CHARLES ROAD HOUSTON, TX 77041 ADMIN 713-466-3460 SALES 713-466-3031 SALES 713-466-9591 FAX

* CERTIFICATE * * OF TEST *

To: PORTLAND BOLT & MFG. CO. 3441 NORTHWEST GUAM STREET PORTLAND, OR 97210

Customer P/O # 57287

Our Order # 841711

Line	Qty	UOM	Description			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LOT	
1	21	EA	I 1/8-8 x 10 O/A. ASTM SPEC DATI TEMPERING TEA DECARB: 291.4-31 THREAD FORME	527311				
	,		MERCURY FREE/	/ NO WELD REPAIR		CARBON .40	MANGANESE 0.87	
į	Heat No B00562	0. 65	TENSILE (PSI) 134700	PHOSPHORUS .017	SULFUR .003	SILICON .24		
ELC	ELONGATION 19		RED. OF AREA 57.5	HARDNESS (HB) 279	CHROMIUM 0.89	MOLY. .18		
МА	CRO E S2/R2/(ТСН 23	HEAT ID:					

We certify that the material or the fasteners, or both, were manufactured, sampled, tested, and inspected in accordance with the specification listed above and any supplementary requirements or other requirements designated in the purchase/sales order and was found to meet those requirements.

Date: 08/08/22

5725 ALLOY & STAINLESS FASTENERS BV: Stephen Irsbadi

Stephen Arobadi Certification Custodian 1



ALLOY & STAINLESS FASTENERS

11625 CHARLES ROAD HOUSTON, TX 77041 ADMIN 713-466-3460 SALES 713-466-3031 SALES 713-466-9591 FAX

* C F R T I F I C A T E * * OF T E S T *

To: PORTLAND BOLT & MFG. CO. 3441 NORTHWEST GUAM STREET PORTLAND, OR 97210

Customer P/O # 57287

Our Order # 841711

Line	Qty	UOM	Description				LOT
2	20	EA	1 1/8-8 H.D.G. TEMPERING TEM SAMPLE HARDN	TM A194 GR.2H		148404	
			PROOF LOAD: 62	0 KN	HK5 = 91 HKB	CARBON .45	MANGANESE .67
	Heat No. 892065	•	HEAT CODE	MACRO ETCH S2/R2/C2	PHOSPHORUS .017	SULFUR .014	SILICON .19
NUMI	BER OF	TEST	HARDNESS (HB) 286	PROOF LOAD lbf N/A			
ASTN	4 SPEC 1 16	DATE					

We certify that the material or the fasteners, or both, were manufactured, sampled, tested, and inspected in accordance with the specification listed above and any supplementary requirements or other requirements designated in the purchase/sales order and was found to meet those requirements.

Date: 08/08/22

ALLOY & STAINLESS FASTENERS By: Stephen Irobadi

Stephen Arobadi Certification Custodian

CHNIC TSI EST. 1971	TECI	HNICA SØGO E. RUSS CRESTEREN PIN(586)943-	L STAN 1914: SCUMID 1914: SCUMID 1915: J. 1983 1985: J. 1983	MPING, 1: BIND (48/51 948-5246	<u>INC.</u>	CE.	MATER RTIFIC.	IAL Ation
USTOMER NAME Portland Bolt & ART NUMBER	۲۰۰۰ Mfg Co		in news	iomer ori 547	der nume 63	EK	4 0110000	мп (2022 /7/2022
1-1/8" F436 Ho	lg MIAN-		I MINI	0222-218			2,45	50
	121134	.54	.68	.009	.001	.276	.031	F436-19
SPECIFIC	ATION		ACT	TUAL		G	AUGE	
0.D -	2.187 - 2.313		2.190	- 2.193		(CALIPER	
(.D -	1.188 - 1.251		1.213	- 1.216		CALII	PER, PIN	GAUGE
THICKNESS-	.136177		.140	143		MI	CROMEI	TER
FLAT-	Max .010			007		0	ALIPER	
HEAT TREAT -	38 - 45 HRC	<u></u>	40	- 42				
PLATING-			Sec An	ached Cert			-	2.00 M 2.0 MIN 100 M 100
OTHER VE HEARSY CERTIFY THIS PRI LI, MATERIALS ARE MADE AN OR WASHERS AS PRODUCED XCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT PRI	DOUCT WAS PRODUCED UND D MELTED IN THE U.S.A. THIS ACCORDING TO A.S.T.M. F-45 OR WRITTEN APPROVAL.	ER A ISO-9001 2 PRODUCT WAS & 56-19 THE ABOVE	N Ste quality Ass manufactured F test results	A URANCE SYSTEM I IN CHESTERFIELD APPLY ONLY TO TH	SO 6001 2015 CEI MICHIGAN, U S.A E ITEMS TESTED	THIS PRODUCT THIS PRODUCT THIS TEST REP	MOER-1255 - DAT CONFORMS TO / OR1 MUST NOT E	E OF REGIS, JAN. 3, 2013 ALL REQUIREMENTS DE REPRODUCED
						MARKA THORIZE	L'M D SIGNATI	Chow
					CE	RTIFIED	ISO 9001	
NY 3006 Rev. 2 11/28/01		" MADE AN	ID MANUF	ACTURED IN	THE USA	ı		

INDUSTRIAL STEEL TREATING COMPANY, INC

613 Carroll Street Jackson, MI 49202 P.O. Box 98 Jackson MI, 49204 Voice: 517-787-6312 Fax: 517-787-5441

HEAT TREAT CERTIFICATION

Certification Date:

Page: 1 of 1

02/21/2022

TECHNICAL STAMPING, INC. Attn: SHANNON SCHAFFNER 50600 E. RUSSELL SCHMIDT CHESTERFIELD, MI 48051

Part Number. F0118

Packing Slip: 1812

Purchase Order:

Customer.

IST Order Number: 347850-1

Lot Number: 0222-218

Heat Number: 121135

SPECIFICATIONS

HRC 38 - 45 HEAT TREATED IN THE USA

1279	
1030 - 1050	
175,535	
17,729,0	
WASHER	
14 TUBS#73,1023,708	
1013,082,1425,210	
404,3701,026,300,3800	
	1279 1030 - 1050 175,535 17,729.0 WASHER 14 TUBS#73,1023,708 1013,082,1425,210 404,3701,026,300,3800

RESULTS

HRC 40-42 HEAT TREATED IN THE USA

Approvel: om de un

Tom Levy - Quality Assurance Supervisor

Contect

Tom Levy-Quality Assurance Supervisor Voice: 517-780-9043 Fax: 517-787-5441 E-Mail: tolevy@indst.com

This Certification cannot be reproduced except in full, without written authorization from Industrial Steel Treating Company

230 FAF 248	80 RESEA RMINGTO -615-0500	N HILLS, N	VE Al 48335	SAB	SRE ST	TEEL NC			2/8/2022 9:2	3:43 AM
Sold	f To:	TECHNIC, 50600 E. F CHESTER	AL STAMPIN RUSSELL SC FIELD TWP	IG XHMIDT BLVD. MI 48051		Ship To:	TECHN 50600 CHEST	IICAL STAN RUSSELL S ERFIELD T	MPING SCHMIDT BLVD.	
Cusi	PO:		\$91633		Ship Date	: 2/9/2022				
Sale	s Order:		81718		Weight:	31.6405				
		0.001507		CI CI	Seturation of	~1,0-90#	I'm Murray			
braining	And the second second second second			an a	ICINIGAL ANA	LYSIS (Margar)		4		
Heat	Number;		121135							
C:	.54		Mnt	.68	P:	.009	S:	001		
0.0	270		TL	.003	Cr.	.092	Mo;	.02		
ND:	0.1.702	121	Al: L.	.031	Cb:	.001	Va:	006		
NE	059	NAME TRAVE OF COMPANY OF COMPANY	B:	.0005	Sn:	.009	N:	.005	· · · .	
Contraction of the second			-	РНу	SICAL PROR	RTIES 2014			2005	an shallow
YS:			TS:			and the second secon	an ann an	C		
Chem	ilstry:	C1050								
Line;		1	ltom: Grade: Part:	.136min x 2.40 HRP&O High (F0118M	HRPO 01050 Carbon)		******	Хинананд ал байлаган байн цөрсөл өөн төнүн нь боло]
Comn	nent: '	Tags 06982	5 D thru K N	iade & Melted In :	the US					
WE H REQU TEST	EREBY D JIRMENTS LABORA	ERTIEY:TI S AND ARI TORY.	HE ABOVE E TRACEAI	EIGURES ARE	ACCURATE CORDS BAC	ELY STATED OK TO THE Manager), MEET Y PRODUC	OUR MAT ER AND/C	ERIAL XR AN ACCRED	ITED

QF-0261 04/01/2019		المُعَنَّقُ المُعَنَّقُ المُعَنَّقُ المُعَنِّقُ المُعَنِّقُ المُعَنِّقُ المُعَنِّقُ المُعَنِّقُ المُعَنِّقُ ال المعلق المعلق المعلق المعلق المعلق المعلق المعلق المعلق المعلق المعلق المعلق المعلق المعلق المعلق	Part Maker TIFN-1	Coil Number 2684731.000	Order Nash Order Dimensio	Macor Steel India NS7 Steel India NS7 Cabfordsville, I
에는 사회관리와 인터지고는 집은 해요		10 LES	· . ·	SHE JH03-14 1050rances from AST SHE JH03-14 1050 INTL Rockwell B: 88	er: 355425 - 0004 HOT ROLLED B ns: 0.1350 in X.51.5000 in HOT HOLLED BAND, C1050, MILL	ر مورد (۲۰۰۰) N 47923-0907
OVE 13 CONTROL AS CONTROLED IN THE RECORDS OF RELIED AND ROLLED IN THE USA 1-765-364-1323		0.275 0.107 0.009 0.059 0.692 0	с. Гариян	17 16569-1590		
THE CORPORATION . NUCCOR QUINLITY ASSUMPTION		 IS 7 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		NeLease Order: Cust PC Number: 6962:	Customer Name; SABR Customer Athress; Z368	
Eve E. Casto	 ***** ********************************	 0.003 (0.0005 (0.001			E STHEL INC 10 RESEARCH DR UNITEN HULS MY 148325	Cartlificate Number: 9639984 Dattlificate Number: 963995 Date Issued: 01/21/202 Page: 1 of 1



April 1, 2022

Technical Stamping 50600 E. Russell Schmidt Chesterfield TWP, MI 48051

To Whom It May Concern:

This is to certify that the hot dip galvanizing of the following washers on your Purchase Order number 1680 conforms to specification ASTM A-153. The following sizes and lot numbers comply with the coating, workmanship, finish, and appearance requirements of ASTM F2329 specifications. The hot dip galvanizing is ROHS compliant. The galvanizing process was conducted in a temperature range of 830F to 850F. GE

				AVERAGE
PIECES	<u>PART#</u>	<u>description</u>	LOT NUMBER	COATING WT IN MILS.
13606	F0118	1 – 1/8" WASHER	0222-218	4.33

This certification in no way implies anything other than the quality of our hot dip galvanizing as it pertains to your order.

This product was galvanized in Rockford, IL USA

Yours very truly,

AZZ Galvanizing Rockford, IL

aria Pinedo

Maria Pinedo Office Clerk



ALL TESTS ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST REVISIONS OF THE METHODS PRESCRIBED IN THE APPLICABLE SAE AND ASTM SPECIFICATIONS. THE SAMPLES TESTED CONFORM TO THE SPECIFICATIONS AS DESCRIBED/LISTED ABOVE AND WERE MANUFACTURED FREE OF MERCURY CONTAMINATION. NO HEATS TO WHICH BISMUTH, SELENIUM, TELLURIUM, OR LEAD WAS INTENIIONALLY ADDED HAVE BEEN USED TO PRODUCE THE BOLTS. THE STEEL WAS MELTED AND MANUFACTURED IN THE U.S.A. AND THE PRODUCT WAS MANUFACTURED AND TESTED IN THE U.S.A. PRODUCT COMPLIES WITH DFARS 252.225-7014. WE CERTIFY THAT THIS DATA IS A TRUE REPRESENTATION OF INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE MATERIAL SUPPLIER AND OUR TESTING LABORTORY. THIS CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS LISTED ON THIS DOCUMENT AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL. CERTIFICATION FORMAT MEETS EN10204 3.1



NUCOR FASTENER A DIVISION OF NUCOR CORPORATION

amos & Decomas

MECHANICAL FASTENER CERTIFICATE NO. A2LA 139-01 EXPIRATION DATE 02/29/08

JAMES GLALAMAS TECHNICAL SERVICES MANAGER

Page 1 of 1

	10/03/2007	TO:12603	371796		FRI	M: NU	COR STEEL	- NE I	PAGE ØØ7	of 887 240
ATTN:	CRYSTAL			BL#-027	2737 P.C	10274	3			
Date:	10/01/07		N		Corpo	orati	on	Heat	Number	: 8426
	Post O	ffice Bo	ox 309	Norfolk,	Nebraska Certifica	68702	Phone	(402)	644-0	200
ACCR	Ch Ce Ex	emical 1 rtificat pires: 1	Testing te: 078(11/30/08)-01*Chem	nical Anal	ysis				
Test Spec:	conform t 1039ML	O ASTM A	A29-05,	ASTM E41	15 and ASI Size:	M E1019 1 17/64 1.2656	-resul; Round	ohuriz Is	ed gra	des
C Mn Si	.38 .76 .23	P Cu Cr Ni	.010 .08 .46	Mo Al V Nb	.01 .002 .001	Sr B	.0.	l5)01		
5	1014	14 T	.04		.001					
				Physic	cal Proper	ties				
Yiel Tens % El	d ile ongation	63,5: 102,5	Imperia 16 76 14	al 	psi psi % in 8"	438 707 14	Metric 		MP MP % in	A A 203.3
		Sellenia added to	I um,Tellu o this]	Reduction urium or heat.	n Ratio: Lead were	35:1 not in	tentio	nally		
		С	hemistry '	Verificatior	n Checks					
	Part #	_ 50:	20	RM #	24	080				
			Chee	cked By	Ι	Date				
	Rec	eiving OK	:B	020		10/3/	107			
	Certific	ations OK	:	375	<u> </u>	10-4	07			
NUCOR P. O. ST JO	FASTENER BOX 6100 E,IN 4678	- IN 5								
						Jim	Hill	1	ion Met) allurg
א ווא	anufactur ry, in a	ing pro my form	cesses, . has	includia not been	ng melting used in f	have l the pro	been pe oductio	rforme n or	ed in t testir	the U.S ng of ti



K-T Galvanizing Company, Inc. P.O. Box 560 – 5105 East 3rd Street Katy, Texas 77492 Ph: 281-391-9201 Fax 281-391-5819 www.ktgalvanizing.com



January 1, 2022

Gulf Coast Fasteners 41291 Park 290 Drive Waller, TX 77484

RE: Certificate of Compliance 2022 Blanket Certification

To Whom It May Concern:

This certification letter is in reference to hardware that we, K-T Galvanizing Company, Inc., hot-dip galvanized for the company listed above.

We certify that all products coated at our facilities were done in accordance with ASTM A153 Active Standard (Latest Edition) – Standard Specification for Zinc Coating (Hot-Dip) on Iron and Steel Hardware and ASTM F2329 Active Standard (Latest Edition) – Standard Specification for Zinc Coating, Hot-Dip, Requirements for Application to Carbon and Alloy Steel Bolts, Screws, Washers, Nuts, and Special Threaded Fasteners.

In addition, we certify that all coatings supplied were applied in the United States of America in compliance with the Buy America requirements of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (23 CFR 635.410) and all subsequent revisions and invocations.

Sincerely,

uger Loga

Jennifer Logan Vice President

JL/tw

TIANJIN PINGYUAN HARDWARE CO., LTD.

NO.8 CONSTRUCTION FIVE BRANCH,BALITAI TOWN, JINNAN DISTRICT, TIANJIN TEL: 0086-22-23792163 FAX: 0086-22-23790387 e-mail: lxm@tjpyco.com

CERTIFICATE OF INSPECTION PURCHASER : BRIGHTON-BEST INTERNATIONAL (TAIWAN) INC. ADDRESS NO. 122 YILIN ROAD, RENDE DIST., TAINAN CITY 71752, TAIWAN DESCRIPTION : ASTM F436M -18 TYPE 1 WASHERS (ASTM F2329-15 HDG) 12/20/2021 ISSUED DATE: 12/20/2021 INSP. DATE PO# LOT NO . : S54221113723A U92054 INVOICE NO : CERT. NO . : 201405270000114 FPB21101137-19 MANU. DATE : 10/20/2021 MATERIAL TYPE : 45C/4.0mm SIZE : ASTM F436M -18 1-1/4" 720 PCS SAMPLE SIZ E LOT SIZE : 3600 PCS 14406608 HEAT NO MANUFACTURER: TIANJIN PINGYUAN HARDWARE CO., LTD. PART NO 357132 DIMENSIONAL INSP. SPEC .: ASTM F436M -18 TEST FACILITY:M CHARACTERISTICS SPECIFIED ACTUAL RESULT ACCE. REJE. VISUAL APPEARANCE ASTM F2329-15 PASSED 29 0 INSIDE: 35.54-35.62 34 93 - 35 72 8 0 OUTSIDE: 62.71 - 64.29 63.40-63.52 8 0 THICKNESS: 3.45-4.50 3.51-3.64 0 8 HEAD MARKING F436 PY F436 PY 8 0 MECHANICAL INSP. SPE ASTM F436M -18 TEST FACILITY:M CHARACTERISTICS TEST METHOD SPECIFIED ACTUAL RESULT ACCE. REJE. HARDNESS ASTM F436M -18 38-45 HRC 39-42 4 0 FINISH ASTM F2329-15 HDG 55um 56um 4 0 CHEMICAL COMPOSITION % TEST FACILITY:S С Si Mn Ρ S Cu Ni Cr в V 0.45 0.08 0.25 0.54 0.021 0.017 0.03 0.03 0.0000 0.00 INSP. RESULT: SAMPLES TESTED CONFORM TO ALL OF THE SPECIFICATION AS ABOVE. LAB. CHIEF/CERT. SIGNATORY: (XIANYIN) PAGE: 1 OF 1 REMARKS XIM ING Country of Origin: CHINA DIMENSION=mm, TENSILE=Mpa THE REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL AND RELATE ONLY TO THE ITEM TESTED. THE REPORT IS ISSUED ACCORDING TO ISO16228 F3.1(EN10204 3.1). THE QMS IS APPROVED TO ISO9001-2015, VALID TO JUN.24.21

TEMPERING TEMPERATURE CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENT OF ASTM F436-11

大津市平源五金割品有限公司 TIANJIN PINGYUAN HARDWARE CO., LTD. 多和全



凌源钢铁股份有限公司 产品质量证明书 UNGYUAN IRON&STEEL CO., LTD.

CERTIFICATE OF QUALITY AND QUANTITY

收货用户 凌潇钢源工资有限公司 到站地址

订货用户 CUSTOMEK	波波	纳尔工资有限	1公司		510	标准 ANDA	RD			GR/	711-	2003			CDN	2 DTC	· 證状。 N OF D	S. XELI VERN		劫	乳		记明书 CERTIFICATI	号 E NO:	20141	2080000042
产品名称 PRODUCT		中定热侵			STE	前与 EL GR	ADE				45					没 DATE (設日 DF DEL	명 IVERY:		2014	1208		습력명 CONTRACT	ND.	KN-D4C	0360057301
护号	材料号	规格	1	数量					化	学成	分三	sc)						1	り学工	艺性能			脫稅長	- iti	成度	车号
HEAT NO	COLLNO	DIMENSONS	0.0	ANTITY				D	15MI	CALC	OMP	05110	2N					MECH	ANICAL	PROFE	STIES					VEHICLE
		mm * mm	件(卷)	重量	£	8	Mn		5	D'	N	Ô.					Rel	Hm	A	A11.3	AkV	冷哼	(mm)	- 0	(3)	NO.
			PIECES	WEIGHT	~1D	~10	×10	×10	*10	+10	×10	×10	×10	+10	×10	×10	1	MPs	5		1	BEND				
14/1207209	H1400185036	3.4 * 690	1	6.505	43	22	53	13	13	4	2	1						645	20.0		-					菜(070990
1441357330	La constant	* 16 # 210	1	7.92	13	52	-	12	10		5	5	-		\vdash	\vdash		640	26.5						-	Phones
1441207210	H1400185637	3-25 - 710		1,45	40	22	23	13	-2	-	*	L.			_											4.070050
1841207212	H1400185039	3.25 * 710	1	7.905	43	22	53	13	13	4	2	2					-	640	20.5	-	-					\$2070690
1443207304	H14C0185C45	3.25 * 710	1	7.915	43	23	53	15	17	5	2	2						649	19.0	-	_					爱070590
1441207305	#1400185646	3.25 * 710	1	7.915	43	23	53	15	17	5	2	2						649	15.0	-	_					堂D70590
1441207807	H14C0185048	3.25 * 710	1	7.92	43	23	53	15	17	5	2	2						649	19.0	-	_					爱D70590
1441207403	H14C0185056	5 * 710	1	7.905	41	24	57	19	14	5	2	2						680	25.5		_					家070590
1441207615	H14C0186034	49 * 710	1	7.90	45	24	52	1.0	14	5	2	z						676	180							家070590
				-	t	\vdash	F		-				-					ĽĮ-	52	1			1	44		
	्रीम रुरम्ब	1	8	61.825	A RE ¹	F注 VARK	1本 2.精 二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二	证明 雪部 如唐 金纳(书加述 门 12 推拉角	1 第二日 第二日 第二日 第二日 第二日 第二日 第二日 第二日 第二日 第二日	展開 (広方)	专用 出具 可生	章后/ 水证(效。	5可生	(数) (印代	W Z	80 la \$	(項可提)	各单位 ;	4 1	R. 1	西名、葱		X	CULENT	ELE M Y PROVE SEAL
地址:中国辽宁 ADDRESS(ADL3 0	学校改革相关章 INNETEROND, LINE	аң жилпатт, шур	NINGPR	o v ince, o	HISA.		Ţ	1话- t1:04	0431 03-98	58.58 78.168	168					开 OFC	证反 RATOR	始然			l D	I III NTE	23141115	1		

第1页共1页

LG-JL-KJ-33

Portl * MANUFA 800-547-6755	ACTURING COMPANY 8 www.portlandbolt.com
---	--

DATE	8/1/2022
PAGE	1 of 1
SALESPERSON	Jessica Kalebaugh
DIRECT PHONE	800.599.2943

ORDER # 154742

sales@portlandbolt.com Phone: 800.547.6758 | Fax: 503.227.4634 www.portlandbolt.com 3441 NW Guam St. Portland OR, 97210

 SOLD TO
 EMAIL
 jessica@ portlandbolt.com

 TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INST
 Texas A&M Transportation Insti

 TTI FINANCIAL SERVICES
 3100 HWY 47 South

 3135 TAMU
 BLDG. 7091

 COLLEGE STATION, TX, 77843-3135
 Bryan, TX, 77807

 Phone: 979.317.2755 J Fax: 979.227.7710
 EVEND

 Atam Mayer <a-mayer@ttl.tamu.edu>
 CUSTOMER PO

 614271

			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	SHIP	DATE	8/15/2022 s	SHIP VIA	UPS Ground
LINE	QTY. ORDERED	DESCR	рпон		
1	20	1-1/	3"-8 x 10" galv. A193-B7 stud		
2	20	1-1/	3"-8 imp. galv. A194-2H heavy hex nut		
3	20	1-1/	3" dom. galv. F436-1 hard washer		
4	20	1-1/-	4" x 2-1/2" galv. F3125-A325 heavy hex structural bolt		
5	20	1-1/-	4" imp. galv. F436-1 hard washer		

AM/NS Calvert LLC 1 AM/NS Way Calvert, Al. , AL 36513 USA

-



					Mi	Il Certifica	ate	CI	USTOME	R ORIGI	NAL
Order - Item Certificate 233429-20 119481195			ate Num 1950	ber	Deliver 831476	ry No 684-10	Ship 02/19	Date 9/2022	Pa 1 c	ige of 1	
Customer	No: 10213	1				Cust PO: H	TX-77079	11			
Customer I	Part No:										
Customer Sold to: Cust Kloeckner Metals Corp Tulsa Kloe Kloeckner Metals Corp 7400 3123 E. Apache HOL TULSA OK 74110 USA USA					mer Ship kner Meta Mesa Dr. STON TX	to: als Corp. 77028		Contact - Si AM/NS Calv 1 AM/NS W CALVERT A USA Email: Stant Ph : 1-251	tan Bevans vert LLC ay AL 36513 ley.Bevan -289-3000	s s@Arcelo	orMittal
Steel Grade / Customer Specification Hot Roll Black Coil Conv to A36 / 0.4900 " X 48.0000 " ACCORDING TO A1018 (Hvy 0.230"(6)-1"(25.4))}-Hot Rol	Base
Type of Product/Surface Hot Roll Black Dry Unexposed GENERAL STOCK, CTL SHEET											
TEST METHO	DD			Melted	in Brazil			Manufactur	ed in LISA		
ASTM								Manadada			
ASTM MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTIC	DN						Manufactur			
ASTM MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTIC	л				Weig	ght	Weight			
ASTM MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTIC	DN .	Не	at	Coil	Weig	ght Jet	Weight Gross			
ASTM MATERIAL D (mm) (in)	ESCRIPTIC ORDE 1	ON RED 2.446 .4900	He N 391642	at o. 21 11948	Coil No. 811950	Weig 1 45,437.00	ght let LB 00	Weight Gross LB 45,437.000			
ASTM MATERIAL D (mm) (in) CHEMICAL C	ORDE 1 0 000POSITI	2.446 0.4900 ON OF 1	He N 391642 THE LADI	at o. 21 11948	Coil No. 311950	Weig N 45,437.00	ght let LB 00	Weight Gross LB 45,437.000			
ASTM MATERIAL D (mm) (in) CHEMICAL C Heat	ORDE 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ON RED 2.446 .4900 ON OF 1	He N 391642 THE LADL	at o. 21 11948 .E*	Coil No. 311950	Weig N 45,437.00	ght Net LB DO	Weight Gross LB 45,437.000			
ASTM MATERIAL D (mm) (in) CHEMICAL C Heat No.	ESCRIPTIC ORDE 1 0 COMPOSITI C	ON RED 2.446 .4900 ON OF 1	He N 391642 THE LADI	at o 21 11948 .E * Mn	Coil No. 811950 P	Weig M 45,437.00 S	ght Net LB DO	Weight Gross LB 45,437.000 Cr	Cu	Мо	Ν
ASTM MATERIAL D (mm) (in) CHEMICAL C Heat No. 3916421	ORDE I OMPOSITI C 0.2080	2.446 2.446 .4900 ON OF 1	He N 391642 THE LADL Si 0.01	at o 21 11948 .E* Mn 0.83	Coil No. 311950 P 0.019	Weig 145,437.00 S 0.006	ght let LB DO AI 0.038	Weight Gross LB 45,437.000 Cr 0.02	Cu 0.006	Mo 0 002	0.0050
ASTM MATERIAL D (mm) (in) CHEMICAL C Heat No. 3916421	ORDE 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2.446 2.446 .4900 ON OF 1	He N 391642 THE LADI Si 0.01 Nb	at o 21 11948 .E * Mn 0.83 Ti	Coil No. 311950 P 0.019 B	Weig 145,437.00 S 0.006 V	ght let LB D0 AI 0.038 Ca	Weight Gross LB 45,437.000 Cr 0.02	Cu 0.006	Mo 0 002	N 0.0050
ASTM MATERIAL D (im) (in) CHEMICAL C Heat No. 3916421	ORDE 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2.446 2.446 0N OF 1	He N 391642 THE LADI Si 0.01 Nb 000	at o 21 11948 .E* Mn 0.83 Ti 0.000	Coil No. 811950 P 0.019 B 0.0000	Weig N 45,437.00 S 0.006 V 0.000	ght LB 00 0.038 Ca 0.0003	Weight Gross LB 45,437.000 Cr 0.02	Cu 0.006	Мо 0 002	P 0.0056
ASTM MATERIAL D (mm) (in) CHEMICAL C Heat No. 3916421 TENSILE TES	ESCRIPTIC ORDE 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2.446 2.446 .4900 ON OF 1	Не N 391642 ГНЕ LADI Si 0.01 Nb 000	at o 21 11948 .E * Mn 0.83 Ti 0.000	Coil No. 811950 P 0.019 B 0.0000	Weig M 45,437.00 S 0.006 V 0.000	ght let LB 00 AI 0.038 Ca 0.0003	Weight Gross LB 45,437.000 Cr 0.02	Cu 0.006	Mo 0 002	0.0056
ASTM MATERIAL D (mm) (in) CHEMICAL C Heat No. 3916421 TENSILE TES Test	ESCRIPTIC ORDE 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 7 7 1 1 1 1	2.446 2.446 .4900 ON OF 1	He N 391642 THE LADI Si 0.01 Nb 000 % Total	at o 21 11948 .E * Mn 0.83 Ti 0.000	Coil No. 311950 P 0.019 B 0.0000	Weig N 45,437.00 S 0.006 V 0.000	ght let LB 00 AI 0.038 Ca 0.0003	Weight Gross LB 45,437.000 Cr 0.02	Cu 0.006	Mo 0.002	N 0.0050
ASTM MATERIAL D (mm) (in) CHEMICAL C Heat No. 3916421 TENSILE TES Test Direction St	ESCRIPTIC ORDE 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ON RED 2.446 .4900 ON OF 1 0. ansile ength	He N 391642 THE LADI Si 0.01 Nb 000 % Total Elong.	at o 21 11948 .E * Mn 0.83 Ti 0.000	Coil No. 811950 P 0.019 B 0.0000	Weig M 45,437.00 S 0.006 V 0.000	ght Jet LB 00 AI 0.038 Ca 0.0003	Weight Gross LB 45,437.000 Cr 0.02	Cu 0.006	Мо 0.002	N 0.005c

AM/NS Calvert LLC certify that the material herein described has been manufactured, sampled, tested and inspected in accordance with the contract requirements and is fully in compliance.

* This test is not covered by our current A2LA accreditation

4 marca

Yasunori Iwasa Quality Management Director AM/NS Calvert

Rev.

CMC						Quality Assure	Rolando A Davila Ince Manager
IEAT NO.:3115121 IECTION: REBAR 13MM (#4) IRADE: ASTM A615-20 Gr 4 IOLL DATE: 05/08/2022 IELT DATE: 05/08/2022 Ight. No.: 85025025 / 11512	40'0" 420/60 20/60 1A371	S CMC Cd O L 10650 S D College US 7784 T 979 774 O	nstruction Svcs College Stati state Hwy 30 Station TX 15-7950 § 5900	S H I P T O	CMC Construction Svcs 10650 State Hwy 30 College Station TX US 77845-7950 979 774 5900	College Stati	Delivery#: 85025025 BOL#: 74755196 CUST PO#: 915579 CUST P/N: DLVRY LBS / HEAT: 46389.000 LB DLVRY PCS / HEAT: 1736 EA
Characteri	stic Value		Characteristic	Valu	le	Charac	teristic Value
Yield Strength te:	C 0.47% Mn 0.85% P 0.011% S 0.046% Cu 0.33% Cu 0.33% Cr 0.07% Ni 0.17% Mo 0.065% V 0.000% Sn 0.014% Al 0.001%		Bend Test Diar	mete	r 1.750IN	The Following is: *Material is fully *100% meted a *EN 10204:2004 *Contains no Me *Contains no Me *Contains no Me	true of the material represented by this MTR: killed nd rolled in the USA 3.1 compliant Id repair reprivation naccordance with the latest version
Tensile Strength te Elongation te Elongation Gage Lgth tes Tensile to Yield ratio te Bend Te	at 1 104.7ks at 1 15% at 1 8IN st1 1.60 at 1 Passed	i	-			of the plant qu "Meets the "Buy "Warning: This known to the S or other reprodu- to www.P65Wa	ality manual • America * requirements of 23 CFR635.410, 49 CFR 6 product can expose you to chemicals which are state of California to cause cancer, birth defects uctive harm. For more information go mings.ca.gov

Page 1 OF 1 05/09/2022 18:26:17



CMC STEEL OKLAHOMA 2353 E Main St Durant OK 74701-4806 CERTIFIED MILL TEST REPORT For additional copies call 830-372-8771 We hereby certify that the test results presented here are accurate and conform to the reported grade specification

Robert Booto

Quality Assurance Manager

HEAT NO.:6033015 S CMC Construction Svcs Coll SECTION: SPOOL REBAR 13MM (#4) 420/60 0 1 3.5T L 10550 State Hwy 30 GRADE: ASTM A615-20 Gr 420/60 D College Station TX ROLL DATE: 06/06/2022 US 77845-7950 MELT DATE: 06/06/2022 T 979 774 5900 Cert. No.: 85074736 / 033015J037 O		S CMC Construction Svcs College Stati H 1 10650 State Hwy 30 P College Station TX US 77845-7950 T 979 774 5900 O	Delivery#: 85074736 BOL#: 74835612 CUST PO#: 921198 CUST P/N: DLVRY LBS / HEAT: 6831.000 LB DLVRY PCS / HEAT: 1 EA
Characteristic Value	Characteristic	Value	Characteristic Value
C 0.27% Min 1.24% P 0.011% S 0.038% Si 0.22% Cu 0.44% Cr 0.16% Ni 0.22% MO 0.038% V 0.003% Sn 0.012% AI 0.000% NB 0.000%	Elongation Elongation Gage Lgth Tensile to Yield ratio Bend T Rebar Deformation Avg. Rebar Deformation Max Bend Test Diar Strain at Peak Stress	st 1 11% st 1 8IN set 1 .37 st 1 Passed paci 0.377IN igh 0.027IN set 0.338IN st 8.3% The Following is "Meteral is fully "100% meted at "EN10204.2004" "Contains no We "Contains no w	s true of the material represented by this MTR: killed and is Hot Rolled Steel drolled in the USA 31 compliant droger a roury contamination accordance with the latest version sity manual America" requirements of 23 CFR835.410, 48 CFR 661 yroduct can expose vuo to chemicatas which are tate of California to cause cancer, birth defects califies harm For more information go miss ca gov

REMARKS : ALSO MEETS AASHTO M31

Page 1 OF 1 06/27/2022 11:23:19



CMC STEEL TEXAS 1 STEEL MILL DRIVE SEGUIN TX 78155-7510 CERTIFIED MILL TEST REPORT For additional copies call 830-372-8771 We hereby certify that the test results presented here are accurate and conform to the reported grade specification

Bauch Rolando A Davila

Quality Assurance Manager

HEAT NO.:3116400 SECTION: REBAR 19MM (#6) 40'0" 420/60 GRADE: ASTM A615-20 Gr 420/60 ROLL DATE: 06/25/2022 MELT DATE: 06/25/2022 Cert. No.: 85085062 / 116400A307	S CMC Construction Svcs College Stati O 10650 State Hwy 30 D College Station TX US 77845-7950 T 979 774 5900	S CMC Construction Svcs College Sta H I 10650 State Hwy 30 P College Station TX US 77845-7950 T 979 774 5900 O	ti Delivery#: 85085062 BOL#: 74853370 CUST PO#: 922148 CUST P/N: DLVRY LBS / HEAT: 47586.000 LB DLVRY PCS / HEAT: 792 EA
Characteristic Value	Characterístic	Value Ci	paracteristic Value
C 0.45% Mn 0.85% P 0.010% S 0.044% Si 0.15% Cu 0.34% Cr 0.09% Ni 0.15% Mo 0.062% V 0.000% Cb 0.001% Sn 0.011% Al 0.001% Yield Strength test 1 66.7ksi Tensile Strength test 1 106.0ksi Elongation Gage Lgth test 1 3N Tensile to Yield ratio test 1 1.59 Bend Test 1 Passed	Bend Test Dia	meter 3.750IN The Followi Material i 100% me - "EN/0204 Contains Contains Manufact of the pla Meter in Wearning: Known to r of wrw.PU	ng is true of the material represented by this MTR: <i>fully</i> , <i>killed</i> and <i>is</i> hot Rolled Steel ited and rolled in the USA 2004 3.1 compilant no wells repair no Mercury contamination red in secondance with the latest version nt quality manual "Buy Ameine": reductments of 23 CFR635 410, 49 CFR 651 This product can expose you to chemicals which are the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects productive harm. For more information go ISWamings.ca.gov

BEMARKS :

Page 1 OF 1 07/07/2022 23:40:38

Phone: 843-336-6000 Sales Fax: 843-336-6150 MTR BER INOUIRIES@NUCOR.COM 9/28/19 Bill of Lading # 1437031 .24X60 A572GR50 All material is sold subject to the description, specifications and terms and conditions set forth This material has been produced in compliance with the chemistry and established rolling practices of the evoluted received of the material is evolved to a chemical communities will and if Mill Order # 470453-2 Vehicle # BULKMASTER <u>Ca</u> -002 -002 long. trans. Tensile Testing, when applicable, is performed in accordance with ASTM A-370 specifications. .13 .13 N Value Specimen is machined to standard rectangular test configuration (Figure 3 of ASTM A-370)
 Sn
 Al
 V
 Nb
 N
 Ti
 B

 .007
 .032
 .007
 .034
 .007
 .013
 .000

 .006
 .032
 .006
 .034
 .007
 .011
 .000
 P/0 # 7421846 Ship Date RIs # BLUE on the face and reverse side of Nucor Steel - Berkeley's sales order acknowledgment. Part # Rockwell B) HARDNESS 83 84 82 ASTZ PLATE For WASHERS MTR# 1610508 with a 2" gage length. Yield Strength is determined at 0.2% offset. long. trans. a division of NUCOR corporation **16800 PENINSULA BLUD** 34 28 23 ELONGATION HOT ROLL COIL (% IN 2") METALLURGICAL TEST REPORT CPT CARE TERMINALS HOUSTON, IX 77067 Nucor Steel Berkeley Ship COASTAL CARGO 원 10 10 Issuance Date 10/23/19 TENSILE STRENGTH 72.4 72.5 72.6 trans. Cr .03 SUITABLE FOR CONVERSION TO ASTM A572 GRADE 50 HR <u>Si</u> <u>Cu</u> <u>Ni</u> .19.08.03 .18.08.03 Will Test Reports according to EN10204 3.1 (ksi) To: ASTM A1018 / HSLAS GR50 CL1 / REV: 2018 long. Gauge × Width .2400 MIN X 60.0000 MIN Sold KLOECKNER METALS CORPORATION P S -010 -003 -008 -003 VIELD STRENGTH 63.8 62.6 long. trans. 59.3 (48600.00 LB) 2912873-2 500 COLONIAL CIR PKWY 49160.00 LB) (ksi) 2912871-4 ROSWELL, GA 30076 <u>M</u> 1.14 2912873 .05 1.14 1455 Hagan Avenue Huger, SC 29450 **STE 500** <u>Heat</u> <u>C</u> 2912871 .05 Heat/Coil# Coil (tag) Coil (tag) 2912871-2 2912871-4 2912873-2 To:

-2	0-2022 05:47	Lo	ad - 41	19590			BL - ;	3920237	,				blr466
IS	tom Fabricators							Heat	- 80000	17503			
IS	t. PO - 02713						Order	- 2159	5136				
-								2100	0100				
	NUC	JR'			Mill	Certif	ication	1			Lo	MTR#:1036604-5	
						05/20/20	22				30	0 STEEL MILL RD	
										D	Antinui	843 393-5841	
	Sold To: KLOEC	KNER MET	ALS COR	P		Sh	nip To: H	LOECKN	ER META	LS	1	-ax: 843 395-8701	
	STE 50	D	NIERPR	VV Y			4	ALLAS, 1	LETON BI X 75212 L	LVD JS			
	ROSWE	ELL, GA 30	076 US										
	Customer PO	7740260			1				Sale	s Order #	110457	78 - 1.1	
	Product Group	Hot Roll	Merchan	t Bar Qu	ality					Product #	301024	3	
	Size	1.5"	migrade							Lot #	800001	750321	
	BOL #	BOL-113	4937		1					Load #	1036604	1	
	Description	Hot Roll - Multigrad	Merchant	40"1 400	ality Round	1.5" (1	1/2") Nuco	r	Custon	ner Part #	MB11/2	RNDMA360240	
	Production Date	04/28/203	22	101100	1 0000 103				Qty Ship	ped LBS	14430		
	Product Country Of Origin	United St	ates						Qty Sh	ipped EA	120		
	Original Item Description	Hot Roll -	Merchant	Bar Qua	ality Round	1.5" (1 1	/2") Nuco	r	Orig	inal Item	1026563		
	I hereby certily that the materi	al described here	in has been m.	anufactured i	n accordance w	th the specifi	ications and stu	andards listed a	hove and that is	Number		·	
	Melt Country of Orig	in : United	States						N	felting Date	e: 04/26/2	022	
	<u>C (%)</u> 0.16	Mn (%) 0.66	P (%)	S (%)	Si (%)	NI (%)	Cr (%)	Mo (%)	Cu (%)	Ti (%)	V (%)	Nb (%)	
	Sn (%)			01010	0.200	0.07	0.14	0.02	0.24	0.001	0.048	0.002	
	0.008	05 444											
	ASTM A529 578.2 ASTM A992 5.4 CE	CE (%): 0. E (%): 0.33	37										
	Tensile testing	1:1											
	١	'ield (PSI)	Tensile	(PSI)	Elongation	in							
	(1)	56600	768	00	20.0								
8	(2)	57300	758	00	21.0								
	Nucor Multigrade meets CSA G40.21 GR44W(3)	the requirer	nents of: As 0W(350W),	STM A36	A36M, A529 M270/M27	0/A529M 0	GR50, A57	2/A572M G	R50(345), A	709/A709M	GR36(250) & GR50(345),	
	Produced to a fully killed	d, fine grain p	practice.					10.10		- 3. OF 10 (14),		5, 561 1E1003.	
	Welding or weld repair v	vas not perfo	rmed on th	is materia	l.								
	Melled and Manufacture	d in the U.S	A and com	plies with	the Buy Am	erican Ac	t.						
	Mercury, radium, or alph	a source ma	terials not i	ntentiona	lly added at	any point	during mai	nufacturing	or testing of	this materia	al.		
	Material is certified to the	e most recer	t revision o	f the spec	ification(s)	and grade	indicated a	at the time of	of production	n.			
					0	, //	ſ.						
					MA	dell's	+					Page 1 . / 1	
				54-	ark Schmid	t Chief I	Aetallumis	at				Page 1 of 1	

	exas A&M ransportation istitute	QF 7.3-01 Sam	Concrete pling	Doc. No. QF 7 .3-01	Revision Date: 2020-0 7- 29	
Qualit	y Form	Revised by: B.L. Griffi Approved by: D. L. Ku	th hn	Revision: 7	Page: 1 of 1	
Project No:	614271	Casting Date:	10/3/2022	Mix Design (psi):	4500	
Name of Technician Taking Sample	Terr	acon	Name of Technician Breaking Sample	Terracon		
Signature of Technician Taking Sample	Terr	Signature of Technician Breaking Sample		Terr	acon	
Load No.	Truck No.	Ticket No.	Locat	ion (from concrete	e map)	
T1	108	123435		South 1/4 of deck		
Т2	106	123440	Sou	uthern Mid 1/4 of D	eck	
тз	107	117831	No	rthern Mid 1/4 of d	eck	
T4	Nestor De Jes9	123449		North 1/4 of Deck		
Load No.	Break Date	Cylinder Age	Total Load (lbs)	Break (psi)	Average	

REMIT PAYMEI P.O. BOX138 KURTEN, TX 7 MBC MAN RELLIS	NT TO: 7862 5222 : Bry IAGEMENT CAMPUS, BF	Sandy Point RD. van, Tx 77807	EXC 17534 SH College Station RT "T ST	A TX 77845	8935 Circle Lake D Pinehurst, TX 77362 IWY 21, LT S 7, LT INTO THEBATE	BCS DISPAT PINEHURST DISPAT Ir. OFFI 2 ILVER HILL RELLIS CAM	123435 CH - 979-316-2906 CH - 936-232-5815 CE - 979-985-3636 RT AT
TIME	FORMULA	LOAD SIZE	YARD ORDERED		DRIVER/TRUCK		PLANT TRANSACTION#
V SIDEL E	N94320030	10.00	40, 00 0	1#	DWAN	108	68960
DATE	PROJECT	LOAD#	YARDS DEL.	BATCH#	WATER TRIM	SLUMP	TICKET NUMBER
10/S/CC	111-145	10.00	10.00		the first of the second second	5.00 in	67142
QUANTITY	CODE	DESCRIPTION				UNIT PRICE	EXTENDED PRICE
LEFT PLANT	ARRIVED JOB	START UNLOADING	SLUMP	CONCRETE TEMP	Thank you	a for your	
7:15	4:35		CEONI			Ticket Tota	
FINISH UNLOADING	LEFT JOB	ARRIVED AT PLANT	ON SITE	TESTING			
			TESTING LAB: GES	RACON SNER		ADDITIONAL CHARG	àE 1
	TE	STED	AIR	CYLINDERS	and the first of the	ADDITIONAL CHARG	E 2
	YES	NO				GRAND TOTAL	
IRRITATIN Contains Portland Cemer CONTACT MAY CAUSE Contact with Skin. In Case Water. If Intiation Persists CONCRETE is a PERISIAE PURCHASER UPON LEAV ORIGINAL INSTRUCTIONS 5 starts. The undersigned pro- nicurred in collecting any sum All accounts not paid within 30 annum. Not Responsible For Made at Time Material is Dobi A \$25.00 Service Charge and Checks. Demoge charge after	WARNING Instruction Instructin Instructin Instructin Instructin I			MAGE RELEASE TO BE MADE INSDE CURB LINE; of this truck in creating the intermediate may cossibly cause damage to may cossibly cause damage to the property if he places the cause damage that is so and or adjuscent property is and or adjuscent property is and or adjuscent property is and or adjuscent property is a provide to help him remove his estimate the will not iter the minity and hold harmless the path of the property which may be sen out of delivery of this order	Excessive Wate H ₂ 0 Ac GAL X. GAL X. GAL X. Surch Surch NOTICE: WY SIGNATURE E CAUSED WHEN DELIVERING LOAD RECEIVED BY X.	r is Detrimental to Concre dded by Request/Authoriz harge for credit ca ast.ow INDICATES THAT I PUER WILL NOT BE RESPOI INSIDE CURB LINE.	ards
15 South Find 1/41						1	23435

Redit-mix Concerte C REMIT PAYM P.O. BOX138 KURTEN, TX MBC MP RELL IS	ENT TO: 77862 522: NAGEMENT CAMPUS, E	2 Sandy Point RD Bryan, Tx 77807	D. 17534 SH College Static	CRE 16 South on, TX 77845 2818, RT H 7", RT HWY 4 RAIGHT TO	8935 Circle Lake D Pinehurst, TX 7736 IWY 21, LT S 7, LT INTO THEBATE	BCS DISPAT PINEHURST DISPAT Dr. OFF 2 ILVER HILL RELLIS CAM	123440 CH - 979-316-2906 CH - 936-232-5815 ICE - 979-985-3636 RT AT PUS STAY
TIME	FORMULA	LOAD SIZE	YARD ORDERED				
1:34	HN94520050	10.00	40, 200	D#	JOSHEPI	1 105	PLANT TRANSACTION#
DATE	BIN HEAT	LOAD#	VARDS DEL			100	00200
10/3/22	TTI-MAS	10.00	20, 00	BATCH#	WATER TRIM	SLUMP	TICKET NUMBER
OLIANTITY	CODE					5.00 in	67147
10.02 0	FN94520	DESCRIPTION				UNIT PRICE	EXTENDED PRICE
1.00 ea 1.00 ea	a ENVIRON A FUEL						business
LEFT PLANT	ARRIVED JOB	START UNLOADING	SLUMP	CONCRETE TEMP.			
1.99	8:03						
FINISH UNLOADING	LEFT JOB	ARRIVED AT PLANT	ON SITE	TESTING	Childrey Indu Deer		
			TESTING LAB. GES	RACON			
	TE	STED	CME	OTHER	Contract Charles	ADDITIONAL CHARGE	1
			AIR	CYLINDERS		ADDITIONAL CHARGE	2
	YES	L NO	ADDED HAT DO NO	A REAL DESIGN A		RAND TOTAL	
IRRITATIN Contains Portiand Cemen CONTACT MAY CAUSE Octact with Skin. In Case Water. If Instation Persists. CONVERTE: is a PERIFICATION ORIGINAL INSTRUCTION ORIGINAL INSTRUCTION ORIGINAL INSTRUCTION ORIGINAL INSTRUCTION AND	WARNING IG TO THE SKINA AN I, Wear Rubber Boots am BURNS. Avoid Contact W of Contact With Skin or Eye Get Medical Attention.KEE E COMMODITY and BECOM IG the TELEPHONED to the C E COMMODITY and BECOM Star to TELEPHONED to the C Star to TELEPHONE to the C star to the S star to	AD EYES d Gloves. PROLONGED th Eyes and Prolonged is, Rinse Thoroughly With P CHLIDREN AWAY. ES THE PROPERTY of the SS or CANCELLATION of PRICE BEFORE LOADING vasionable automory's tess. al the rate of 18% per v Ho Glaim Altowed Unless e Collected on all Returned	PROPERTY DAN TOT BE SIGNED FOLLYON'T Dear Customer - The drive of RELEASE to you for your spin the premease of the this fands in material in this face where you driver is no performed that we of and this supplier from any real and this supplier from any real buildings, silo. The premises the and that you dear buildings, silo. The premises the and that you dear buildings, silo. The premises the and that you dear the supplier from any real buildings, silo. The premises the and that you dearbox public strets, Furthing and the suppliers and the you dearbox the premises and the suppliers are stored.	IAGE RELEASE INSERTING INSUE CURB LINE) INSERTING INS	Excessive Water in H ₂ 0 Adde GAL X	Betrimental to Concrete bd by Request/Authorized rge for credit carr ow INDICATES THAT I HAV ER WILLIOT BE RESPONSI JODE CURBLINE	Performance. By: S E READ THE HEALTH LE FOR ANY DAMAGE
#2 South man M	IDPLE XI					12	23440

REMIT PAYME P.O. BOX138 KURTEN, TX	те ENT TO: 77862 5222 Ви Эмабемент Самрия, т	Sandy Point RD. ryan, Tx 77807	EXC 17534 SH College Station	6 South 7, TX 77845 T 2816, RT T", PT HUY TRAIGHT TO	18935 Circle Lake D Pinehurst, TX 77362 HWY 21, LT S 47, LT INTO THEGATE	BCS DISPAT PINEHURST DISPAT r. OFFI 2 SILVER HILL RELLIS CAP	117831 CH - 979-316-2906 CH - 936-232-5815 CE - 979-985-3636
TIME	FORMULA	LOAD SIZE	YARD ORDERED	e e a e	DRIVER/TRUCK		PLANT TRANSACTION#
8:05	FN94528	050 10.00	40.00		o accomplation	107	
DATE		LOAD#	YARDS DEL.	BATCH#	WATER TRIM	SLUMP	
10/3/28	TTIMAS	10,00	30.00	PLT Ø2		5.00 11	71571
QUANTITY	CODE	DESCRIPTION	Sector States			UNIT PRICE	EXTENDED PRICE
1.00 e	a ENVIRON						business
LEFT PLANT	ARRIVED JOB	START UNLOADING	SLUMP	CONCRETE TEMP.	AIR TEMP		
014	904						a
FINISH UNLOADING	LEFT JOB	ARRIVED AT PLANT	ON SITE	TESTING			
			TESTING LAB: GESS	RACON		ADDITIONAL CHARGE	= 1
	TE	STED	AIR	CYLINDERS		ADDITIONAL CHARGE	
	YES		and a lot of				
IRRITATII Contains Portland Cerner CONTACT MAY CAUSE Contact with Skin. In Case Water, Il Initiation Persists OMORETE in a PERIState ORIGINAL INSTRUCTIONS stars, The undersigned por licensed a cooliding any auro Participation of paid within 30 microsoft accounts not paid within 30 microsoft accounts not paid within 30 microsoft accounts on pa	WARNING NG TO THE SKIN AI II. Wear Rubber Boots an BURNS. Avoid Contact V of Contact With Skin or Eyr Get Medical Attention KEE BLE COMMCOTY and BECK No the PLANT. Any CHANG MST be TELEPHONED to the Lises to pay all costs, including owed. days of delivery will bear interest sector.	ND EYES d Gloves, PROLONGED Vith Eyes and Prolonged ss, Rinse Thoroughly With P CHLDREN AWAY. ES THE PROPERTY of the OFFICE BEFORE LOADING reasonable attorney's feet. at the rate of 18% per the rate of 18% per as the rate of 18% per as Collected on all Returned	PROPERTY DAA TO BE SIGNED IP DELIVERY TO Peer California of the California of the California Size and verging of the California of the Size and verging of the California of the size and verging of the California of the size and verging of the California of the the California of the California of the material in the Isade where you have of the California of the size and this supplier from any ver- toes the California of the Size and the supplier from any ver- toes the California of the Size and the Size of the Size of the Size and the Size of the Size of the Size of the Size of the Size of the Size of the Size of the Size of the Size of the Size of the Size of the Size Signed Size of the Size of the Size of the Size Size of the Size of the Size of the Size of the Size Size of the Size of	AGE RELEASE AGE RELEASE Initial function conservations this with processing the second second and processing the second second and put processing the second second processing the second second second second second processing the second	Excessive Water H ₂ O Add GAL X WEIGHMASTER Surcha WARNING NOTICE AND SUPF CAUSED WHEN DELIVERING IN LOAD RECEIVED BY	is Detrimental to Concrete ed by Request/Authorize by Request/Authorize rege for credit car .ew INDICATES THAT I HA .ER WILL NOT BE RESPONS SIDE CURB LINE.	d By: d By: d By: d By: d B d B d B d B d B d B d B d B
#3 Middle North 6 1/4	ectuan					1	17831

REMIT PAYME P.O. BOX138 KURTEN, TX 7	NT TO: 7862 5222 Br NABEMENT CAMPUS, BI	Sandy Point RD. yan, Tx 77807	TTS34 SH College Station	RE 3 South 5 X 77845 2818, RT HU 2818, RT HW 4 RT HWY 4 RT	3935 Circle Lake D Pinehurst, TX 7736; WY 21, LT S 7, LT INTO	BCS DISPAT PINEHURST DISPAT r. OFFI 2 ILVER HILL RELLIS CAM	123449 CH - 979-316-2906 CH - 936-232-5815 CE - 979-985-3636 RT AT PUS STAY	
TIME 8:47 F DATE 10/3/22 QUANTITY 10,00 yc	FORMULA N94520050 BRD1F61 TT1-MAS CODE FN945200	LOAD SIZE 10.00 LOAD# 10.00 DESCRIPTION	YARD ORDERED 40.00 P YARDS DEL 40.00	0# BATCH#	DRIVER/TRUCK NESTOR WATER TRIM	DE JES9 SLUMP 5.00 in UNIT PRICE	PLANT TRANSACTION# 68974 TICKET NUMBER 67156 EXTENDED PRICE	
1.00 ea 1.00 ea LEFT PLANT		START UNLOADING	Enviro Fuel (concrete temp.	andry Ch Thank you AIR TEMP	i for your Tax Prev. AM Ticket Toke	business	
FINISH UNLOADING		ARRIVED AT PLANT	ON SITE TERT TESTING LAB: GESS CME AIR PROPERTY DAM	TESTING IACON NER OTHER CYLINDERS	Excessive Water	ADDITIONAL CHARG ADDITIONAL CHARG GRAND TOTAL	E 1 E 2	
 WARNING IRRITATING TO THE SKINDA Contains Portland Cernent, Wear Rubber Boots and Gloves. PROLON CONTACT MAY CAUSE BURNS. Avoid Contact With Eyes and Proto Contact with Skin. In Case of Contact with Skin or Eyes, Rinse Throughly Water. Il Irritation Persiste. Get Medical Attention. KEEP CHILDREN AWA CONCRET is a PERISHABLE COMMODITY and BECOMES THE PROPERTY PURCHASER UPON LEAVING the TELEPHONED is the OFFICE BEFORE LOAD Ontolitation RINGTUCTORS MUST be TELEPHONED is the OFFICE BEFORE LOAD Ontolitation RINGTUCTORS MUST be TELEPHONED is the OFFICE BEFORE LOAD Concent is a PERISHABLE COMMODITY and BECOMES THE PROPERTY. PURCHASER UPON LEAVING the TELEPHONED is the OFFICE BEFORE LOAD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND			TO BE SIGNED IF DELIVERY TI DEER Custome - The driver of size and weight of this functor the promises and/or adjaces and weight of this functor the promises and/or adjaces the promises and/or adjaces the promises and/or adjaces the promises and/or adjaces analy occur, to the or adjust analy occur, to the or adjust and from the adjust buildings, adjusters. Further as understringed supposes by order the premises and for adjust Calence by anyone to have arise settings.	D BE MADE INSIDE GURB LINE; If this truck in preeming this store is of the opnion that the store is of the opnion that the is of the opnion that the is desired is the opnion that the is desired is the opnion that the is desired is the opnion that the opnion that is opnion to the opnion that RELEASE releasing that is opnion to the delivery of a gires to help tim remove in groups to help tim remove that held harmless the nilly and held harmless the in property which may be an out of delivery of this order	GAL X WEIGHMASTER Surcharge for credit cards Notice: wis signature below inside curb line. LOAD RECEIVED BY			
#4 No-th 19	(1	23449	

Report Number:	A1171057.02	49
Service Date:	10/03/22	
Report Date:	11/01/22	Revision 1 - 29-day test results
Task:	PO# 614271	

Client

Texas Transportation Institute Attn: Bill Griffith TTI Business Office 3135 TAMU College Station, TX 77843-3135

Material Information

Specified Strength: 4,500 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	EN945200500		
Supplier:	Texcrete		
Batch Time:	0702	Plant:	68960
Truck No.:	108	Ticket No.:	123435
	100		



979-846-3767 Reg No: F-3272

Project Number: A1171057 Sample Information Sample Date: 10/03/22 Sample Time: 0745 Sampled By: Steven Savala Weather Conditions: Clear Accumulative Yards: 10 Batch Size (cy): 10 Placement Method: Chute Water Added Before (gal): 0 Water Added After (gal): 0 Sample Location: Runway Placement Location: Runway

Field Test Data

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	6	
Air Content (%):	1.8	
Concrete Temp. (F):	88	
Ambient Temp. (F):	54	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):		
Yield (Cu. Yds.):		

Laboratory Test Data

Set No.	Spec ID	Cyl. Cond.	Avg Diam. (in)	Area (sq in)	Date Received	Date Tested	Age at Test (days)	Max Load (Ibs)	Comp Strength (psi)	Frac Type	Tested By
1	А	Good	6.00	28.27		10/25/22	22 F	114,000	4,030	4	SCG
1	В	Good	6.00	28.27		10/25/22	22 F	94,460	3,340	4	SCG
1	С	Good	6.00	28.27		10/25/22	22 F	115,990	4,100	4	SCG
1	D	Good	6.00	28.27		11/01/22	29 F	133,430	4,720	3	AWD
Initial C	ure: Out	tside		Final	Cure: Field C	Cured	Si	ample Descri	ption: 6-inch c	liameter cyl	inders

Project

Bryan, TX

Riverside Campus

Riverside Campus

Comments: Not tested for plastic unit weight. F = Field Cured

Note: Reported air content does not include Aggregate Correction Factor (ACF).

Samples Made By: Terracon

Services:

Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C 172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C 31, C 39, C 1231).

 Start/Stop: 0630-1000

Test Methods: ASTM C 31, ASTM C143, ASTM C231, ASTM C1064

The tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM, AASHTO, or DOT test methods. This report is exclusively for the use of the client indicated above and shall not be reproduced except in full without the written consent of our company. Test results transmitted herein are only applicable to the actual samples tested at the location(s) referenced and are not necessarily indicative of the properties of other apparently similar or identical materials.

CR0001, 3-31-22, Rev.7

Page 1 of 4

Report Number:	A1171057.0249					
Service Date:	10/03/22					
Report Date:	11/01/22	Revision 1 - 29-day test results				
Task:	PO# 614271					

Client

Texas Transportation Institute Attn: Bill Griffith TTI Business Office 3135 TAMU College Station, TX 77843-3135

Material Information

Field Test Data

Specified Strength: 4,500 psi @ 28 davs

Mix ID:	FN945200500		
Supplier:	Texcrete		
Batch Time:	0734	Plant:	68960
Truck No.:	106	Ticket No.:	123440

rielu Test Data		
Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	7	
Air Content (%):	2.0	
Concrete Temp. (F):	89	
Ambient Temp. (F):	54	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):		
Yield (Cu. Yds.):		

erracon

6198 Imperial Loor College Station, TX 77845-5765 979-846-3767 Reg No: F-3272

Bryan, TX Project Number: A1171057 Sample Information Sample Date: 10/03/22 Sample Time: 0810 Sampled By: Steven Savala Weather Conditions: Clear Accumulative Yards: 20 Batch Size (cy): 10 Placement Method: Chute Water Added Before (gal): 0 Water Added After (gal): 0 Sample Location: Runway **Placement Location:** Runway

Laboratory Test Data Set Spec Cyl. Avg Diam. Area Date

Set No.	Spec ID	Cyl. Cond.	Avg Diam. (in)	Area (sq in)	Date Received	Date Tested	Test (days)	Load (lbs)	Strength (psi)	Frac Type	Tested By
2	А	Good	6.00	28.27		10/25/22	22 F	107,220	3,790	4	SCG
2	В	Good	6.00	28.27		10/25/22	22 F	108,580	3,840	5	SCG
2	С	Good	6.00	28.27		10/25/22	22 F	92,420	3,270	5	SCG
2	D	Good	6.00	28.27		11/01/22	29 F	130,640	4,620	5	AWD
Initial C	ure: Out	side		Final	Cure: Field (Cured	S	ample Descr	iption: 6-inch d	iameter cyl	inders

Age at

Max

Start/Stop: 0630-1000

Comp

Project

Riverside Campus

Riverside Campus

Initial Cure: Outside

Comments: Not tested for plastic unit weight. F = Field Cured

Note: Reported air content does not include Aggregate Correction Factor (ACF).

Samples Made By: Terracon

Services:

Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C 172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C 31, C 39, C 1231).

Terracon Rep.: Steven Savala Reported To: Bill Contractor: MBC Management **Report Distribution:**

(1) Texas Transportation Institute, Bill Griffith

Test Methods: ASTM C 31, ASTM C143, ASTM C231, ASTM C1064

The tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM, AASHTO, or DOT test methods. This report is exclusively for the use of the client indicated above and shall not be reproduced except in full without the written consent of our company. Test results transmitted herein are only applicable to the actual samples tested at the location(s) referenced and are not necessarily indicative of the properties of other apparently similar or identical materials.

CR0001, 3-31-22, Rev.7

Page 2 of 4

Report Number:	A1171057.02	49
Service Date:	10/03/22	
Report Date:	11/01/22	Revision 1 - 29-day test results
Task:	PO# 614271	

Client

Texas Transportation Institute Attn: Bill Griffith TTI Business Office 3135 TAMU College Station, TX 77843-3135

Material Information

Specified Strength: 4,500 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	FN945200500		
Supplier:	Texcrete		
Batch Time:	0805	Plant:	68960
Truck No.:	107	Ticket No.:	117831

Project Number: A1171057			
Sample Information			
Sample Date:	10/03/22	Sample Time:	0820
Sampled By:	Steven Sa	vala	
Weather Conditions:	Clear		
Accumulative Yards:	30	Batch Size (cy):	10
Placement Method:	Chute		
Water Added Before (gal):	0		
Water Added After (gal):	0		
Sample Location:	Runway		
Placement Location:	Runway		

6198 Imperial Loop

College Station, TX 77845-5765 979-846-3767 Reg No: F-3272

erracon

Field Test Data

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	5 1/2	
Air Content (%):	1.6	
Concrete Temp. (F):	89	
Ambient Temp. (F):	70	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):		
Yield (Cu. Yds.):		

Laboratory Test Data

Set No.	Spec ID	Cyl. Cond.	Avg Diam. (in)	Area (sq in)	Date Received	Date Tested	Age at Test (days)	Max Load (Ibs)	Comp Strength (psi)	Frac Type	Tested By
3	A	Good	6.00	28.27		10/25/22	22 F	100,260	3,550	2	SCG
3	В	Good	6.00	28.27		10/25/22	22 F	100,980	3,570	5	SCG
3	С	Good	6.00	28.27		10/25/22	22 F	96,760	3,420	5	SCG
3	D	Good	6.00	28.27		11/01/22	29 F	125,360	4,430	5	AWD
Initial C	ure: Out	side		Final	Cure: Field (Cured	S	ample Descri	ption: 6-inch d	iameter cyl	inders

Project

Bryan, TX

Riverside Campus

Riverside Campus

Comments: Not tested for plastic unit weight. F = Field Cured

Note: Reported air content does not include Aggregate Correction Factor (ACF).

Samples Made By: Terracon

Services:

Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C 172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C 31, C 39, C 1231).

Terracon Rep.: Steven Savala Reported To: Bill Contractor: MBC Management **Report Distribution:** (1) Texas Transportation Institute, Bill Griffith Start/Stop: 0630-1000

Test Methods: ASTM C 31, ASTM C143, ASTM C231, ASTM C1064

The tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM, AASHTO, or DOT test methods. This report is exclusively for the use of the client indicated above and shall not be reproduced except in full without the written consent of our company. Test results transmitted herein are only applicable to the actual samples tested at the location(s) referenced and are not necessarily indicative of the properties of other apparently similar or identical materials.

CR0001, 3-31-22, Rev.7

Page 3 of 4

Report Number: A1171057.0249 Service Date: 10/03/22 Report Date: 11/01/22 Revision 1 - 29-day test results PO# 614271 Task:

Client

Texas Transportation Institute Attn: Bill Griffith TTI Business Office 3135 TAMU College Station, TX 77843-3135

Material Information

Specified Strength: 4,500 psi @ 28 days

Mix ID:	FN945200500		
Supplier:	Texcrete		
Batch Time:	0847	Plant:	68960
Truck No.:	Nestor de	Ticket No.:	123449

Bryan, IX	
Project Number: A1171057	
Sample Information	
Sample Date:	10/03/22 Sample Time:
Sampled By:	Steven Savala
Weather Conditions:	Clear

6198 Imperial Loor

College Station, TX 77845-5765

979-846-3767 Reg No: F-3272

erracon

Field Test Data

Test	Result	Specification
Slump (in):	8 1/4	
Air Content (%):	2.1	
Concrete Temp. (F):	89	
Ambient Temp. (F):	70	
Plastic Unit Wt. (pcf):		
Yield (Cu. Yds.):		

Sample Date:	10/03/22	Sample Time:	0905
Sampled By:	Steven Sa	vala	
Weather Conditions:	Clear		
Accumulative Yards:	40	Batch Size (cy):	10
Placement Method:	Pump		
Water Added Before (gal):	0		
Water Added After (gal):	0		
Sample Location:	Runway		
Placement Location:	Runway		

Laboratory Test Data

Set No.	Spec ID	Cyl. Cond.	Avg Diam. (in)	Area (sq in)	Date Received	Date Tested	Age at Test (days)	Max Load (lbs)	Comp Strength (psi)	Frac Type	Tested By
4	А	Good	6.00	28.27		10/25/22	22 F	93,350	3,300	5	SCG
4	В	Good	6.00	28.27		10/25/22	22 F	92,310	3,260	2	SCG
4	С	Good	6.00	28.27		10/25/22	22 F	108,430	3,830	2	SCG
4	D	Good	6.00	28.27		11/01/22	29 F	117,090	4,140	3	AWD
Initial C	ure: Out	tside		Final	Cure: Field (Cured	Sa	ample Descri	iption: 6-inch d	iameter cyl	inders

Project

Bryan,

Riverside Campus Riverside Campus

Initial Cure: Outs

Comments: Not tested for plastic unit weight. F = Field Cured

Note: Reported air content does not include Aggregate Correction Factor (ACF).

Samples Made By: Terracon

Services:

Obtain samples of fresh concrete at the placement locations (ASTM C 172), perform required field tests and cast, cure, and test compressive strength samples (ASTM C 31, C 39, C 1231).

Terracon Rep.: Steven Savala Reported To: Bill Contractor: MBC Management **Report Distribution:**

(1) Texas Transportation Institute, Bill Griffith

Start/Stop: 0630-1000

Reviewed By: ander Dunigar

Project Manager

Test Methods: ASTM C 31, ASTM C143, ASTM C231, ASTM C1064

The tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM, AASHTO, or DOT test methods. This report is exclusively for the use of the client indicated above and shall not be reproduced except in full without the written consent of our company. Test results transmitted herein are only applicable to the actual samples tested at the location(s) referenced and are not necessarily indicative of the properties of other apparently similar or identical materials.

CR0001, 3-31-22, Rev.7

Page 4 of 4

Concre	ete Core Test Report									-		
Report N Service D Report D Task:	umber: A1171057.0258 Pate: 12/07/22 ate: 12/14/22 PO# 614271								6198 Colle; 979-8	ICIIC Imperial Loop ge Station, TX 46-3767 Reg	77845-5765 No: F-3272	•
Client						Project	t					
Tex Attr TTI 313	as Transportation Institute n: Bill Griffith Business Office 5 TAMU	Riverside Campus Riverside Campus Bryan, TX										
Col	lege Station, TX 77843-3135					Project	Number: A1171	057				
Materia	I Information					Sample	Informatio	n				
Specifie	pecified Strength: Placement Date: Date Tested: 12/06/22 Time: 0000											
Specifie Mix ID: Nomina	d Length: I Maximum Size Aggregate:	Sampled By: Drill Directions: Vertical ize Aggregate: Date Core Obtained: 12/06/22 Time: 0000 Date Ends Trimmed: 12/06/22 Time: 0000 Moisture Conditioning History: According to ASTM C-42										
Labora	tory Test Data	Cored	Trim	Capped	Avg.					Comp.		
Core ID	Location	Length (in)	Length (in)	Length (in)	Dia. (in)	Arca (sq in)	Length / Diam. Ratio	Max Load (lbs)	Corr. Factor	Strength (psi)	Fracture Type	Density (pef)
3	Truck Barrier	12.75	7.44	7.64	3.90	11.95	1.96	54230	1.000	4540	4	
4	Truck Barrier	12.00	7.35	7.81	3.90	11.95	2.00	58710	1.000	4910	2	
5 6	Car Barrier Car Barrier	11.00 14.25	6.41 5.83	6.71 6.80	3.90 3.90	11.95 11.95	1.72 1.74	56920 63140	0.978 0.979	4660 5170	4	

Comments:

Services: Terracon Rep.: Matcek, James Reported To: Contractor: Report Distribution: (1) Texas Transportation Institute, Bill Griffith

Start/Stop: 0630-1245

Reviewed By: Alexander Lunigan Project Manager

Test Methods:

The tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM. AASHTO. or DOT test methods. This report is exclusively for the use of the client indicated above and shall not be reproduced except in full without the written consent of our company. Test results transmitted herein are only applicable to the actual samples tested at the location(s) referenced and are not necessarily indicative of the properties of other apparently similar or identical materials.

CR0004, 11-16-12, Rev.5

Page 1 of 1

APPENDIX C. MASHTEST 3-10 (CRASH TEST NO. 614271-12-2)

C.1. VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION

Date:	2022-11-01	Test No.:	614271-12-2	VIN No.:	3N1CN7AP6JL809772					
Year:	2018	Make:	Nissan	Model:	Versa					
Tire Inf	lation Pressure: <u>36</u>	PSI	_ Odometer: <u>85889</u>		Tire Size: P185/65R15					
Descrit	Describe any damage to the vehicle prior to test: <u>None</u>									
• Den	otes accelerometer lo	ocation.								
NOTES	S: <u>None</u>		— A M — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		⊘ ● N T					
Engine Engine	Type: <u>4 CYL</u> CID: <u>1.6 L</u>									
Transn	nission Type: Auto or 🔲	Manual		R						
<u>I</u> Optiona <u>None</u>	FWD LL RWD al Equipment:									
Dumm Type: Mass Seat I	y Data: <u>50th Perce</u> : <u>165 lb</u> Position: <u>IMPACT SI</u>	ntile Male DE		H-S W-E						
Geome	etry: inches				C					
A <u>66.7</u>	<u></u>	50	K <u>12.50</u>	P <u>4.50</u>	U <u>15.50</u>					
В <u>59.6</u>	60 G		L <u>26.00</u>	Q <u>24.00</u>	0 V <u>21.25</u>					
C <u>175</u>	.40 H <u>41</u> .	67	M <u>58.30</u>	R <u>16.2</u>	5 W <u>41.60</u>					
D <u>40.5</u>	<u>60 l 7.0</u>	0	N <u>58.50</u>	S <u>7.50</u>	X <u>79.75</u>					
E <u>102</u>	.40 J <u>22.</u>	50	O <u>30.50</u>	T <u>64.5</u> 0	0					
Whe	eel Center Ht Front 1	1.50	Wheel Center H	lt Rear <u>11.50</u>	О W-H <u>-0.07</u>					
RA	NGE LIMIT: A = 65 ±3 inches; C	= 169 ±8 inches; E (M+N)/2 = 59 ±2	= 98 ±5 inches; F = 35 ±4 inches; I ? inches; W-H < 2 inches or use MAS	H = 39 ±4 inches; O (H Paragraph A4.3.2	(Top of Radiator Support) = 28 ±4 inches					
GVWR	Ratings:	Mass: Ib	<u>Curb</u>	<u>Test I</u>	nertial <u>Gross Static</u>					
Front	1750	Mfront	1430	1435						
Back	1687	M _{rear}	955	985	1065					
Total	3389	M⊤otal	2385	2420	2585					
Mace I	Distribution		Allowable TIM = 2	2420 lb ±55 lb Allow	able GSM = 2585 lb ± 55 lb					
lb	LF:	670	RF: <u>765</u>	LR: <u>470</u>) RR: <u>515</u>					

Figure C.1. Vehicle Properties for Test No. 614271-12-2.

Date:	2022-11-01	Test No.:	614271-12-2	VIN No.:	3N1CN7AP6JL809772
Year:	2018	Make:	Nissan	Model:	Versa

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET¹

|--|

End Damage	Side Damage
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2
A2	
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant
(check one)	X1+X2
< 4 inches	2 =
≥ 4 inches	

Note: Measure C_1 to C_6 from Driver to Passenger Side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

a		Direct Damage									
Specific Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width*** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L**	C_1	C2	C3	C_4	C_5	C_6	±D
1	AT FT BUMPER	14	12	38							14
2	SAME	14	8	44							60
	Measurements recorded										
	✓ inches or mm										

¹Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.

Figure C.2. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test No. 614271-12-2.

Date:	2022-11-01	Test No.:	614271-12-2		VIN No.:	3N1CN7AP6	JL809772
Year:	2018	Make:	Nissan		Model: \	/ersa	
ſ	H-			C DE	DCCUPAN FORMATI	T COMPART ON MEASUR	MENT EMENT
	F				Before	After (inches)	Differ.
	G			A1	67.50	67.50	0.00
11		_7(A2	67.25	67.25	0.00
\$				A3	67.75	66.50	-1.25
				B1	40.50	40.50	0.00
				B2	39.00	39.00	0.00
	B1, B2	2, B3, B4, B5, B6		B3	40.50	38.50	-2.00
				B4	36.25	36.25	0.00
- A1, A2, &A 3		12, &A B	B B5	B5	36.00	36.00	0.00
$\exists e$		2803		B6	36.25	36.25	0.00
				C1	26.00	26.00	0.00
				C2	0.00	0.00	0.00
				СЗ	26.00	24.00	-2.00
				D1	9.50	9.50	0.00
				D2	0.00	0.00	0.00
		1 1		D3	9.50	9.50	0.00
		B2 D2		E1	51.50	46.00	-5.50
				E2	51.00	51.00	0.00
				F	51.00	51.00	0.00
				G	51.00	51.00	0.00
				Н	37.50	37.50	0.00
				Ι	37.50	36.50	-1.00

Figure C.3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 614271-12-2.

J*

49.00

*Lateral area across the cab from

driver's side kick panel to passenger's side kick panel.

-5.00

44.00

C.2. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS



(a) 0.000 s

(b) 0.100 s



(c) 0.200 s

(d) 0.300 s



(e) 0.400 s

(f) 0.500 s



(g) 0.600 s (h) 0.700 s Figure C.4. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 614271-12-2 (Overhead Views).


(a) 0.000 s



(c) 0.200 s

(d) 0.300 s



(e) 0.400 s

(f) 0.500 s



(h) 0.700 s (g) 0.600 s Figure C.5. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 614271-12-2 (Frontal Views).



(a) 0.000 s

(b) 0.100 s



(c) 0.200 s

(d) 0.300 s



(e) 0.400 s

(f) 0.500 s



(g) 0.600 s (h) 0.700 s

C.3. VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS



Roll, Pitch and Yaw Angles

Figure C.7. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 614271-12-2.

C.4. VEHICLE ACCELERATIONS



Figure C.8. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 614271-12-2 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure C.9. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 614271-12-2 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



Figure C.10. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 614271-12-2 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).

APPENDIX D. MASHTEST 3-11 (CRASH TEST NO. 614271-12-1)

D.1. VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION

Date:	2022-11-16	Test No.:	614271-1	12-1	VIN No.:	1C6RF	R6FTXKS8	598582
Year:	2019	Make:	RAM		Model		1500	
Tire Size:	265/70 R	17		Tire I	nflation Pre	essure:	35 p	si
Tread Type	: Highway				Odd	meter: <u>151</u>	167	
Note any da	mage to the	vehicle prior to	test: <u>None</u>					
 Denotes a 	acceleromet	er location.			■X ■W■_			
NOTES: N	lone		1 +		715			
			-					
Engine Type Engine CID	e: V-8 : <u>5.7 lite</u>	r	-					WHEEL TRACK
Transmissio	on Type:				<u> </u>		T INERTIAL C. M.	·
	or D_ ∏_ RW	VD <u> </u>		R - P				
Optional Eq None	uipment:		P					В
Dummy Dat Type:	a: <u>NONE</u>						Pr	
Seat Positi	ion:		-			-Е	• D-	•
Geometry:	inches			¥,	M Ront	-'C	¥ M REAR	-
A 78	8.50 I	= 40.00	к	20.00	. Р_	3.00	_ U _	26.75
B74	4.00 (G 28.40	_ L	30.00	_ Q _	30.50	_ V _	30.25
C22	7.50	- 61.18	_ M	68.50	_ R _	18.00	_ W_	61.20
D4	4.00	11.75	_ N	68.00	_ s _	13.00	_ X _	79.00
E <u>14</u>	0.50 .	J <u>27.00</u>		46.00	. T_	77.00		
Wheel C Height	enter Front	14.75 Cle	arance (Front)		6.00	Bottom ⊦ra Height - Fr	me ont	12.50
Wheel C Height	enter Rear	14.75 ci	Wheel Well earance (Rear)		9.25	Bottom Fra Height - R	me ear	22.50
RANGE LIMIT: A	=78 ±2 inches; C=2	237 ±13 inches; E=148 ±12	inches; F=39±3 inche	es; G = > 28 in	iches; H = 63 ±4 i	nches; O=43 ±4 inch	ies; (M+N)/2=67	±1.5 inches
GVWR Rati	ngs:	Mass: Ib	<u>Curb</u>		<u>Test</u>	Inertial	Gros	s Static
Front	3700	Mfront	2	928		2834		2834
Back	3900	M _{rear}	20	011		2186		2186
Total	6700	M _{Total}	49	939		5020		5020
Mass Distri	ibution:	- 4.405		(Allowable F	kange tor TIM and	IGSM = 5000 lb ±11	(di U	1070
lb		LF: <u>1425</u>		409	LR:	1110	RR:	10/6

Figure D.1. Vehicle Properties for Test No. 614271-12-1.

Date:	2022-11-16	Test No.:	614271-12-1	VIN No.:	1C6RR6FTXKS598582		
Year:	2019	Make:	RAM	Model:	1500		

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET¹

End Damage	Side Damage				
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1				
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2				
A2					
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant				
(check one)	X1+X2				
< 4 inches	2 =				
\geq 4 inches					

Note: Measure C_1 to C_6 from Driver to Passenger Side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

G		Direct Damage									
Specific Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width*** (CDC)	Max**** Crush	Field L**	C_1	C ₂	C_3	C_4	C_5	C_6	±D
1	AT FT BUMPER	15	12	36							-18
2	SAME	15	12	60							70
	Measurements recorded										
	√ inches or ☐ mm										

¹Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.

Figure D.2. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test No. 614271-12-1.

Γ

Date:	2022-11-16	Test No.:	614271-12-1	VIN No.:	1C6RR6FTXKS598582				
Year:	2019	_ Make:	RAM	Model:	1500				
	//	T # 7 / 1 #	TILE D	OCCUPANT COMPARTMENT DEFORMATION MEASUREMENT					
	F			Before	After (inches)	Differ.			
		E2 E3	E4 A1	65.00	65.00	0.00			
K		<u>۱</u>	A2	63.00	63.00	0.00			
\square			A3	65.50	65.50	0.00			
			B1	45.00	45.00	0.00			
			B2	38.00	38.00	0.00			
			. ВЗ	45.00	45.00	0.00			
			Б4 В4	. 39.50	39.50	0.00			
		B1-3 B4		43.00	43.00	0.00			
6		3	B6	39.50	39.50	0.00			
\square			C1	26.00	24.00	-2.00			
	\bigcirc		C2	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	<u> </u>		Ca	3 26.00	26.00	0.00			
			D1	11.00	11.00	0.00			
			D2	2 0.00	0.00	0.00			
		1	D3	3 11.50	11.50	0.00			
		25	E1	58.50	58.50	0.00			
	B1,4	B3,6	E2	63.50	63.50	0.00			
	 ⊢ E	1-4	E3	63.50	63.50	0.00			
			E4	63.50	63.50	0.00			
			F	59.00	59.00	0.00			
			G	59.00	59.00	0.00			
			н	37.50	37.50	0.00			

*Lateral area across the cab from driver's side kickpanel to passenger's side kickpanel.

Figure D.3. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 614271-12-1.

L

J*

37.50

25.00

37.50

25.00

0.00

0.00

D.2. **SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS**



(a) 0.000 s

(b) 0.100 s



(c) 0.200 s

(d) 0.300 s



(e) 0.400 s

(f) 0.500 s



(g) 0.600 s (h) 0.700 s



(a) 0.000 s

(b) 0.100 s



(c) 0.200 s

(d) 0.300 s



(e) 0.400 s

(f) 0.500 s



(g) 0.600 s (h) 0.700 s Figure D.5. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 614271-12-1 (Frontal Views).



(a) 0.000 s

(b) 0.100 s



(c) 0.200 s

(d) 0.300 s



(e) 0.400 s

(f) 0.500 s



(g) 0.600 s (h) 0.700 s

Figure D.6. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 614271-12-1 (Rear Views).

D.3. VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS



Roll, Pitch and Yaw Angles

Figure D.7. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 614271-12-1.

D.4. VEHICLE ACCELERATIONS



Figure D.8. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 614271-12-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).





Figure D.9. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 614271-12-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).

20



Figure D.10. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 614271-12-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).